#### VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY

2005

#### PREPARED BY

THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF GAME AND INLAND FISHERIES 4010 WEST BROAD STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23230



#### Acknowledgements

The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF) would like to acknowledge and thank those individuals and organizations that contributed to the Virginia Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (CWCS). The successful development of this final document is due to the input and participation of staffs from multiple divisions of the VDGIF, as well as from other governmental agencies, recreation and conservation organizations, private industry, and academia. The discovery and recognition of a new common vision adds even greater value to these accomplishments.

Special thanks go to the *VDGIF Core Working Group*: Rebecca K. Wajda, Virginia's CWCS Project Leader; Kathleen Q. Graham and Shelly A. Miller, Core Working Group Leaders; Kendell D. Jenkins; David D. Morton; W. Adam Phelps; and Susan H. Watson. Karen K. Reay, Virginia Institute of Marine Science, also participated on the Core Working Group while with VDGIF. Their spirit and dedication was fundamental to the completion of Virginia's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. We extend deep appreciation to Adam Phelps for his exceptional service as editor. Mary Beth Murr and Frances Greenway contributed to the success of this project through administrative support.

Experts from government agencies, universities, and other organizations were invaluable in the development of the CWCS. We relied heavily on the *Taxonomic Advisory Committees* for professional evaluation of the list of species of greatest conservation need and habitats supporting those species, and in the identification of risks to those resources and, importantly, conservation actions to address those problems. A list of the individuals participating on those committees is located in Chapter 2 (Methods). Their service during this initiative was vital to the completeness of the CWCS; we could not have completed this work without them. We also received data from other agencies and individuals who were not formal participants in the CWCS teams. John Scrivani at the Virginia Department of Forestry provided data from the Forest Inventory Analysis. Jeff Goebel from the Natural Resources Conservation Service in Beltsville, Maryland, provided analysis of Natural Resource Inventory data. Several individuals at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and the Virginia Institute of Marine Science were helpful in identifying and providing datasets to assess water quality and wetland trends. We also want to thank DEQ for the permission to reprint the map in Appendix N. Monica Wait contributed to the sections regarding marine species and the agencies and organizations responsible for those resources.

The *Internal Steering Committee*, composed of representatives from VDGIF's primary organizational units, provided critical guidance for addressing the required elements and ensuring that the project stayed within scope. They also supported the Core Working Group by directing resources within the agency to the accomplishment of this initiative. The Committee was composed of James C. Adams, Capital Programs; Major Gary M. (Mike) Bise, Law Enforcement; Frederick (Rick) A. Busch, Wildlife; Raymond T. Fernald, Wildlife Diversity; Virgil E. Kopf, Ph.D., Administrative Services; and Fred D. Leckie, Jr., Fisheries. Sincere thanks and appreciation is due to all of them.

The External Steering Committee, composed of representatives from governmental agencies, conservation organizations, and other interested parties, was key to ensuring the achievement a common vision for both the CWCS and the future of wildlife conservation in Virginia. Member commitment to this initiative was important to realizing project goals and will be critical to the successful implementation of the CWCS in the Commonwealth. The Committee included L. Peter Boice, U.S. Department of Defense; C. Fair Brooks, III, Ducks Unlimited; John Coe, Virginia Audubon Council; Dean P. Cumbia, Virginia Department of Forestry; M. Denise Doetzer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service; Frank M. Fulgham, Jr., Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services; Carol Hardy, Ph.D., U. S. Forest Service - George Washington and Jefferson National Forests; Julie Hawkins, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service; David A. Hurt, Western Virginia Land Trust; Stephen J. Long, Virginia Department of Transportation; Karen A. Mayne, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Virginia Field Office; Joseph McCauley, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - Rappahannock River National Wildlife Refuge; James M. McGowan, Accomack-Northampton Planning District Commission; Gordon C. Olson, National Park Service – Shenandoah National Park; Nicole Rovner, The Nature Conservancy – Virginia Office; Thomas L. Smith, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation; Jeffrey C.

Southard, Virginia Department of Transportation; and David K. Whitehurst, Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries. Thanks are also due to Greg Moser and John Myers, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service; Christopher Ludwig, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation; and Carl Garrison, Virginia Department of Forestry, for their participation on the External Steering Committee during this program.

The work of the External Steering Committee was expertly facilitated by Greg Brittingham, Center for Public Policy, Virginia Commonwealth University. In addition, he facilitated all of the public input meetings, resulting in considerable input and enthusiasm from participants.

This Strategy was funded in part by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service State Wildlife Grants VA-T-1-1. We thank the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies for their guidance and support throughout the CWCS development process.



#### COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

#### Office of the Governor P.O. Box 1475

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr.
Secretary of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 1475
Richmond, Virginia 23218

(804) 786-0044 Fax: (804) 371-8333 TTY: (804) 786-7765

#### Foreword

#### ' My Fellow Virginians:

After his Natural Resources Leadership Summit in 2003, Governor Mark Warner asked the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries to develop a strategy that would chart a new course for the conservation and management of Virginia's rich and abundant wildlife resources in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. The results would not simply continue our efforts to recover those animals whose populations are so low that they have been listed as threatened or endangered, nor would they focus solely on managing game wildlife. Rather, the final outcome, presented here, weaves together information about nearly 1,000 wildlife species in need of conservation, the essential habitats that support them, threats to these resources, and priorities for action.

I am particularly pleased with the outcome because it is the result of the efforts of hundreds of Virginians—not just employees of the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries and other agencies in my Secretariat, but also other agencies in state government, as well as members of federal, regional, and local agencies; outdoor and conservation organizations; industry; civic associations; colleges and universities; and interested private citizens. Through this collaborative partnership, we were able to draw upon decades of natural resource conservation efforts that have occurred across the Commonwealth. Through this partnership, we were also able to identify a wide array of needs that we must address if we are to continue our roles as stewards of these resources.

Article XI of the Constitution of Virginia charges state government with the responsibility of protecting natural resources. It reads, in part, as follows:

"To the end that the people have clean air, pure water, and the use and enjoyment for recreation of adequate public lands, waters, and other natural resources, it shall be the policy of the commonwealth to conserve, develop, and utilize its natural resources... to protect its atmosphere, lands and waters from pollution, impairment, or destruction, for the benefit, enjoyment and general welfare of the people of the commonwealth."

This strategy presents a challenge to each and every Virginian. We cannot follow this new course or uphold our duty to conserve the Commonwealth's biological diversity without the support of our citizens and the financial assistance of our elected officials. Let us come together, working from the same "blueprint," to direct our time and resources collectively for our natural "common wealth."

Within these pages, I hope that you will find your niche and do your part. Please join me in conserving our splendid natural resources.

Sincerely,

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr.



#### COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr.
Secretary of Natural Resources

#### Department of Game and Inland Fisheries

Colonel W. Gerald Massengill
Interim Director

#### Preface

As the Commonwealth's wildlife agency, the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries and the Board of Game and Inland Fisheries recognize their important roles as stewards of these natural resources for the citizens of the Commonwealth. The Board and Department are committed to three key focal areas, as outlined in our mission statement:

- To manage Virginia's wildlife and inland fish to maintain optimum populations of all species to serve the needs of the Commonwealth;
- To provide opportunity for all to enjoy wildlife, inland fish, boating, and related outdoor recreation; and
- To promote safety for persons and property in connection with boating, hunting, and fishing.

In 2001, state wildlife agencies were presented with an exciting opportunity – millions in new grants to support critically underfunded wildlife conservation programs. In exchange, each state had to commit to the development of a wildlife conservation plan – a new strategy to protect species of greatest conservation need. We all agreed, perhaps not fully appreciating at the time the commitment required of our staffs and ourselves. Operating under requirements from Congress and guidance from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, each state tackled this obligation in its own unique way.

I am particularly proud of our efforts in this initiative. During my tenure as a member and chairman of the Board, I have had few opportunities to participate in projects that have involved such a wide and diverse array of citizens and constituent groups. Every organizational unit in the agency actively participated in the development of this document. With the Wildlife Diversity Division leading this effort under the direction of its director, David Whitehurst, other biologists, game wardens, and specialists from our Fisheries, Wildlife, Law Enforcement, and Administrative Services programs all had key roles in this accomplishment. This is truly a document of the Department.

Importantly, this initiative allowed us to reaffirm and strengthen our relationships with our long-time conservation partners. It also allowed us to meet new friends, who have a vested interest in wildlife, expanding our conservation network. We have all agreed that this "blueprint" will guide us together, sharing our limited resources to address the commonly-identified priority needs.

This document is an important tool in our accomplishment of our mission, along with you, our partners. We thank you for your enthusiastic support of wildlife conservation activities across Virginia and welcome your participation in the implementation of this strategy.

Sherry Smith Crumley

Chairman, Board of Game and Inland Fisheries August 18, 2005

#### **Executive Summary**

The authority and responsibility for fish and wildlife conservation In Virginia is vested with Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (DGIF) and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC). These agencies have partnered with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to manage harvested and endangered species under many federal programs. As a result, populations of many of these species have rebounded.

However, DGIF is also charged with managing all other wildlife in the Commonwealth. This responsibility has presented numerous challenges, primarily because of a lack of adequate funding. In 2000, the Virginia General Assembly recognized the importance and value of a broader "user pay, user benefit" approach and directed a portion of the state sales tax collected on wildlife-related outdoor recreation equipment to DGIF. While this additional revenue has enabled the agency to continue conservation and management programs, these resources do not address the continued shortfall of funds available for comprehensive wildlife conservation.

Congress began to provide annual funding to supplement existing state fish and wildlife conservation programs in 2001. Along with this funding came the responsibility of each state and territory to develop a Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (CWCS) by October 1, 2005. In 2003, DGIF was also charged with the development of a similar strategy as a result of Governor Warner's Natural Resources Leadership Summit. This task was also to be completed by October 1, 2005. This document, the resulting Strategy, is intended to fulfill both Congressional and Virginia state requirements.

Key to the successful development of this document was input from numerous partners, stakeholders, and citizens. The development of this CWCS has provided an unprecedented opportunity to develop important partnerships. This initiative has also provided a foundation from which all parties interested in wildlife conservation in the Commonwealth may work towards common conservation goals. It is a wildlife conservation strategy for the Commonwealth, not just DGIF.

Results are reported by six ecoregions across Virginia: the Coastal Plain, Piedmont, Blue Ridge, Ridge and Valley, and the Northern and Southern Cumberlands. This format provides a natural regional framework, allowing groups to focus conservation efforts at the appropriate level.

The Strategy includes an evaluation of the location and relative abundance of wildlife and the habitats required to support these species; an assessment of problems facing these species and habitats; recommended conservation actions to address these problems; research and survey needs; and monitoring programs and needs.

The Virginia CWCS identifies 925 species of greatest conservation need, 70% of which are invertebrates. These species are grouped into four tiers of relative conservation need: *critical*, *very high*, *high*, and *moderate* conservation need. These tiers allow for prioritization of threats facing species and of conservation actions addressing those threats.

Evaluation of the problems facing these species and actions needed to address those problems are presented in summary at the statewide level, as well as in more detail for each of six ecoregions. One approach taken was the distillation of the "Top 10" threats faced by terrestrial and aquatic wildlife. For terrestrial species, seven of the top 10 threats were related to habitat destruction or fragmentation from various sources, including development and some agricultural and forestry practices. Eight of the top 10 aquatic threats related to water quality, including pollution and sedimentation, are from such sources as development, industrial activities and some agricultural and forestry practices.

Priority conservation actions were developed statewide, and include detailed recommendations for coordination, education, enforcement, habitat management, land conservation, planning, regulations and policies, and species management.

#### VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Executive Summary

Some of the most comprehensive and widespread issues identified in the development of this Strategy include:

- (a) A need for greater coordination between conservation partners. The partnerships formed and renewed during this process will help to facilitate conservation projects statewide.
- (b) *Unprecedented fragmentation and development of habitat*. This is one of the most frequently identified problems facing wildlife. To address it, those responsible for land planning will need to be more fully engaged in wildlife conservation efforts.
- (c) Invasive exotic plants and animals negatively impacting native wildlife and habitats. Even though they are already widespread, the prevalence of invasive species is increasing in both aquatic and terrestrial communities. From exotic common reed (*Phragmites*) in coastal marshes to crayfish introduced through the bait trade, this is a crucial statewide conservation issue.
- (d) Existing data gaps that impede effective conservation planning and implementation. For many species of greatest conservation need, basic life history and distribution information are not known. These gaps are critical to address, especially for Tier I species, in projects funded by the State Wildlife Grants program or by other conservation programs and partners.
- (e) A chronic shortfall in funding of conservation programs. This issue was identified by both our partners and the public. Land acquisition and protection, research, and habitat management are expensive, and many species of greatest conservation need have historically been a low priority for the limited funding that does exist.

The next step of this process is implementation of the CWCS. The group of partners we have worked with to this point is being expanded to form Implementation Working Groups, with representation from a remarkably diverse group of organizations and interests.

Monitoring during the implementation phase of the Strategy will be an important evaluation tool: It will provide the framework necessary to modify and update the CWCS as new data are acquired and as conservation successes are realized.

The Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy provides a blueprint and vision for effective and efficient wildlife conservation in the Commonwealth. It draws on the strengths of existing efforts and partnerships, while recognizing that there is work to be done to ensure healthy wildlife populations in Virginia. In the decade between now and formal revision of the plan in 2015, we hope to accomplish much of that work.

"To the end that the people have clean air, pure water, and the use and enjoyment for recreation of adequate public lands, waters, and other natural resources, it shall be the policy of the Commonwealth to conserve, develop, and utilize its natural resources, its public lands, and its historical sites and buildings. Further, it shall be the Commonwealth's policy to protect its atmosphere, lands and waters from pollution, impairment, or destruction, for the benefit, enjoyment and general welfare of the people of the commonwealth."

Article XI, Section 1, of the Constitution of Virginia

#### **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgements	
Foreword	
Preface	
Executive Summary	
Table of Contents	
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Chapter 1. Introduction	1-1
Purpose	1-1
Structure and Scope of the Document	
The Context of Natural Resource Conservation in Virginia	
Brief Natural History of Virginia	
Conclusions	
Literature Cited	
Dioritale Ched	
Chapter 2. Methods	2-1
Strategy Coordination	2-1
Public Input and Involvement	
Selecting Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Habitat Assessment	
Assessing Threats, Trends, and Conservation Actions	
•	
Research and Information Management Needs	
Literature Cited	2-40
Chapter 3. Statewide Overview	3-1
Species of Greatest Conservation Need	3-1
Statewide Habitat: Status and Trends	
Terrestrial Spatial Variables	
Terrestrial GAP Analysis	
Statewide Terrestrial Habitat Status and Trends	
Statewide Aquatic Habitat Status and Trends	
Statewide Special Habitat Status and Trends	
Statewide Threats	
Conservation Issues Identified by Partners on the External Steering Committee.	
Human Population and Development Trends	
Potential Threat of Introduced Aquatic Species	
Results of 2004 Community Meetings and Public Input	3-37
Literature Cited	3-45

## $\begin{tabular}{ll} Virginia's Comprehensive Conservation Strategy\\ Table of Contents \end{tabular}$

Introduction	4-1
Marine Conservation in Virginia	4-4
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Coastal Plain	4-14
Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Coastal Plain	4-21
Tier I Species	
Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Aquatic Species in the Coastal Plain	
Coastal Plain – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – James Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – York Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – Delmarva Ecological Drainage Unit Coastal Plain – Chesapeake Bay Ecological Drainage Unit	
Coastal Plain – Chesapeake Bay Ecological Drainage Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Subterranean Species in the Coastal Plain.	
Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Coastal Plain	
Literature Cited	4-86
er 5. Virginia's Southern Appalachian Piedmont	5-1
Introduction	5-1
Introduction  The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont	5-4
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont	5-4 5-10
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont	5-4 5-10 5-10
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-27 5-27 5-34
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-54
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-51 5-54
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-57 5-58
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-57 5-58
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-58 5-58 5-58
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont  Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Piedmont  Literature Cited	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-58 5-58 5-58
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Peo Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont  Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Piedmont  Literature Cited  See 6. Virginia's Blue Ridge Mountains	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-25 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-55 5-54 5-56 5-60 5-61
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – James Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont  Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Piedmont  Literature Cited  Introduction	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-24 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-47 5-51 5-54 5-58 5-60 5-61
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Piedmont  Tier I Species  Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need  Aquatic Species in the Piedmont  Piedmont – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Chowan Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – York Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit  Piedmont – Peo Dee Ecological Drainage Unit  Subterranean Species in the Piedmont  Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Piedmont  Literature Cited  See 6. Virginia's Blue Ridge Mountains	5-4 5-10 5-10 5-10 5-21 5-23 5-25 5-25 5-27 5-27 5-34 5-38 5-38 5-47 5-51 5-54 5-56 5-56 5-60 5-61

## VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Table of Contents

Tier I Species	6-11
Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Aquatic Species in the Blue Ridge	
Blue Ridge – New Ecological Drainage Unit	
Blue Ridge – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit	
Blue Ridge – Pee Dee Ecological Drainage Unit	
Blue Ridge – James Ecological Drainage Unit	
Blue Ridge – Rappahannock Ecological Drainage Unit	
Blue Ridge – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit	
Subterranean Species in the Blue Ridge	
Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Blue Ridge	
Literature Cited	6-61
Chapter 7. Virginia's Northern Ridge and Valley	7-1
Introduction	
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Ridge and Valley	7-5
Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Ridge and Valley	7-16
Tier I Species	
Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation NeedBarren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Aquatic Species in the Ridge and Valley	
Ridge and Valley – Clinch Ecological Drainage Unit	
Ridge and Valley – Holston Ecological Drainage Unit	
Ridge and Valley – New Ecological Drainage Unit	7-106
Ridge and Valley – Big Sandy Ecological Drainage Unit	
Ridge and Valley – Roanoke Ecological Drainage Unit	
Ridge and Valley – James Ecological Drainage Unit Ridge and Valley – Potomac Ecological Drainage Unit	
Subterranean Species in the Ridge and Valley	
·	
Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Ridge and Valley  Literature Cited	
Efferature Cred	7-133
Chapter 8. Virginia's Northern Cumberland Mountains	8-1
Introduction	8-1
The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Northern Cumberlands	8-5
Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Northern Cumberlands	
Tier I Species	
Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation NeedBarren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
Aquatic Species in the Northern Cumberlands	
Northern Cumberlands – Clinch Ecological Drainage Unit	
Northern Cumberlands – Big Sandy Ecological Drainage Unit	

#### $\label{thm:comprehensive} \mbox{Virginia's Comprehensive Conservation Strategy} \\ \mbox{Table of Contents}$

	Northern Cumberlands – New Ecological Drainage Unit	8-29
	Subterranean Species in the Northern Cumberlands	8-31
	Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Northern Cumberlands	8-32
	Literature Cited	8-33
Chapte	er 9. Virginia's Southern Cumberland Mountains	9-1
	Introduction	9-1
	The Species of Greatest Conservation Need: Southern Cumberlands	
	Terrestrial and Wetland Species in the Southern Cumberlands	
	Tier I Species	9-12
	Forest Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
	Open Vegetated Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
	Barren Habitat Species of Greatest Conservation Need Wetland Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
	Aquatic Species in the Southern Cumberlands	
	Southern Cumberlands — Clinch Ecological Drainage Unit	
	Southern Cumberlands – Holston Ecological Drainage Unit	
	Subterranean Species in the Southern Cumberlands	
	Overview of Tier I Species Habitat in the Southern Cumberlands	
	Literature Cited	
	Management Needs, and Plan Review/Revision  Conservation Actions	10.1
	Monitoring and Research	
	Information Management Needs	
	Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy Plan Review and Revision	
	Conclusion	
	Literature Cited	
	Eliciature Circu	10-44
Glossa	ry	
Appen	dices	
	Appendix A. The Species of Greatest Conservation Need	
	Appendix B. Species of Greatest Conservation Need With No Known Ecoregional Associations	
	Appendix C. Terrestrial Species With No Landcover Associations	
	Appendix D. Potential Habitat Mapping Details for Terrestrial and Aquatic Tier I Species	
	Appendix E. List of Tier 1 Species and Expert Reviewers	
	Appendix F. Complete List of Stress/Source Combinations Identified by the Taxonomic Advisory	Committees
	Appendix G. Habitat Groupings Used by the Taxonomic Advisory Committees in Assessment of Conservation Actions, and Research/Monitoring Needs	
	Appendix H. Threats to Virginia's Species of Greatest Conservation Need	

Appendix I. Conservation Actions Identified by the Taxonomic Advisory Committees

#### VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Table of Contents

Appendix J. Research and Monitoring Needs Identified by the Taxonomic Advisory Committees

Appendix K. Landcover Classes

Appendix L. Summaries of Community Meetings Facilitated by Virginia Commonwealth University's Center for Public Policy

Appendix M. Recommendations for Education and Outreach Actions

Appendix N. Virginia Department of Environmental Quality Watershed Map

Appendix O. Reference Maps

Publicly-owned Lands in Virginia Major Drainages of Virginia Ecoregions, Counties, and Independent Cities of Virginia

Appendix P. Public Comments

List of abbreviations and acronyms used throughout the CWCS.

List of abbreviations and acronyms used throughout the CWCS.			
Abbreviation	Full Name		
AFS	American Fisheries Society		
AIFRB	American Institute of Fishery Research Biologists		
ASIH	American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists		
BBS	Breeding Bird Survey		
BCR	Bird Conservation Region		
BLM	Bureau of Land Management		
BMP	Best management practice		
BOVA	Biota of Virginia database		
CBC	Christmas Bird Count		
CCB	Center for Conservation Biology at the College of William and Mary		
CISC	Continuous Inventory of Stand Condition		
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Flora and Fauna		
CMI	Conservation Management Institute at Virginia Tech		
CNU	Christopher Newport University		
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program		
CWCS	Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy		
DCR	Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation		
DCR-NH	Division of Natural Heritage, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation		
DEQ	Virginia Department of Environmental Quality		
DGIF	Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries		
DMME	Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy		
DOF	Virginia Department of Forestry		
EDU	Ecoregional Drainage Unit		
EO	Element Occurrence		
ESA	Endangered Species Act of 1973		
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute		
FE	Federally Endangered		
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission		
FGDC	Federal Geographic Data Committee		
FIA	Forest Inventory Analysis		
GIS	Geographic Information Systems		
GMU	George Mason University		
IAFWA	International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies		
IUCN	International Union for Nature and Natural Resources		
JPA	Joint Permit Application		
MAPS	Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship		
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1940		
NABCI	North American Bird Conservation Initiative		
NASA	National Aeronautical and Space Administration		
NED	National Elevation Dataset		
NESWDTC	Northeastern Endangered Species and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee		
NGO	Non-governmental organization		
NLCD	National Landcover Dataset		
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service		
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration		
NPS	National Park Service		
NRI	Natural Resources Inventory		
NSF	National Science Foundation		
NWBD	National Watershed Boundary Dataset		
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory		
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge		
ODU	Old Dominion University		
OFWIM	Organization of Fish and Wildlife Information Managers		
OSM	Office of Surface Mining		

#### VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

PARC Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation

PDC Planning District Commission

PIF Partners in Flight ppt Parts per thousand

RPI Relative Phenological Index
SAA Southern Appalachian Assessment
SAV Submerged aquatic vegetation
SCU Stream Conservation Unit

SE State Endangered

SGCN Species of Greatest Conservation Need

ST State Threatened SWG State Wildlife Grants

TAC Taxonomic Advisory Committee
TED Turtle Excluder Device (see Glossary)

TMI Topographic Moisture Index
TNC The Nature Conservancy
TVA Tennessee Valley Authority
UR University of Richmond

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDOT United States Department of Transportation
USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USFS United States Forest Service

USFWS United States Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS Unites States Geological Survey

UVA University of Virginia

VA-GAP Virginia Gap Analysis Project VBMP Virginia Base Mapping Program VBWT Virginia Birding and Wildlife Trail

VCFWRU Virginia Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, USGS

VCU Virginia Commonwealth University
VDH Virginia Department of Health
VIMS Virginia Institute of Marine Science
VMNH Virginia Museum of Natural History
VMRC Virginia Marine Resources Commission

VPI&SU Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Virginia Tech)

VSO Virginia Society of Ornithology WMA Wildlife Management Area

YOY Young of the year

#### **List of Tables**

Table 2.1. An example of the species matrix used in selecting SGCN	2-7
Table 2.2. Lists of animals of conservation concern used in the production of Virginia's SGCN	2-8
Table 2.3. Established conservation lists, associated categories, and the tiering score assigned to each rank in the species selection matrix	2-8
Table 2.4. Members of the Aquatic GAP expert team	2-18
Table 2.5. Aquatic habitat attributes assigned to each reach	2-19
Table 2.6. Gradient statistics for the James River before and after the gradient fix	2-21
Table 2.7. Aquatic habitat classification categories used for continuous variables	2-21
Table 2.8. Element Occurrence ranks from NatureServe	2-32
Table 2.9. Possible stresses on species and habitats	2-33
Table 2.10. Sources of stress on species and habitats	2-34
Table 2.11. Scales for measuring stress	2-34
Table 2.12. Examples of stress/source/magnitude combinations	2-35
Table 3.1. The number of species in each taxonomic group first identified as possible SGCN and the number selected by the TACs	3-1
Table 3.2. Summary of tiered species by taxonomic group	3-2
Table 3.3. Numbers of SGCN listed as threatened, endangered, or of special conservation concern by Virginia or USFWS	3-3
Table 3.4. Land cover of Virginia	3-3
Table 3.5. Place categories in Virginia	3-4
Table 3.6. Distribution of stream types among size classes	3-13
Table 3.7. Stream types with fewer than 10 occurrences	3-14
Table 3.8. Statewide summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category	3-14
Table 3.9. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Potomac and Shenandoah River basin	3-15
Table 3.10. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Rappahannock River basin	3-15
Table 3.11. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the York River basin	3-16
Table 3.12. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the James River basin	3-16
Table 3.13. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Chesapeake Bay Small Coastal basin.	3-17

Table 3.14. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin	3-17
Table 3.15. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Roanoke River and Pee Dee basins	3-18
Table 3.16. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the New River basin	3-18
Table 3.17. Summary of the percent of assessed habitat reported as impaired for each use category in the Tennessee-Big Sandy basins	3-18
Table 3.18. Top 10 stress-source combinations for terrestrial species	3-27
Table 3.19. Top 10 stress-source combinations for aquatic species	3-28
Table 3.20. All stresses reported by TACs for terrestrial species, independent of source	3-28
Table 3.21. All stresses reported by TACs for aquatic species, independent of source	3-29
Table 3.22. All sources of stress reported by TACs for terrestrial species, independent of source	3-30
Table 3.23. All sources of stress reported by TACs for aquatic species, independent of source	3-31
Table 3.24. Critical issues for conservation for the next ten years, as identified by the CWCS ESC	3-33
Table 3.25. Matrix of most frequently-cited concerns by session and priority ranking	3-44
Table 4.1. Names for the Coastal Plain as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	4-1
Table 4.2. The species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-14
Table 4.3. Species-specific stresses on the wood turtle	4-24
Table 4.4. Species-specific stresses on the eastern big-eared bat	4-45
Table 4.5. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-47
Table 4.6. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-48
Table 4.7. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-48
Table 4.8. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-49
Table 4.9. Open vegetated habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-50
Table 4.10. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-50
Table 4.11. Scrub-shrub species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-51
Table 4.12. Developed habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-51
Table 4.13. Beach species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-52
Table 4.14. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-52
Table 4.15. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-53
Table 4.16. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-54
Table 4.17. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-55
Table 4.18. Open water species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-55
Table 4.19. Habitat types used by the blackbanded sunfish in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-57

Table 4.20. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the bridle shiner in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-59
Table 4.21. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Roanoke logperch in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-61
Table 4.22. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-62
Table 4.23. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers connected to other small rivers	4-62
Table 4.24. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small streams connected to other small streams	4-62
Table 4.25. Aquatic SGCN using wetland, pond or impoundment habitats	4-62
Table 4.26. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-63
Table 4.27. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the bridle shiner in the Coastal Plain-James EDU	4-65
Table 4.28. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-66
Table 4.29. Aquatic SGCN found mostly in small impoundments, ponds, and wetlands	4-66
Table 4.30 Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-66
Table 4.31. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-67
Table 4.32. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers	4-68
Table 4.33. Aquatic SGCN in reaches designated as wetlands or ponds	4-68
Table 4.34. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-68
Table 4.35. Habitat types used by the shortnose sturgeon in the Coastal Plain-Rappahannock EDU	4-71
Table 4.36. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-71
Table 4.37. Aquatic SGCN in headwater and small streams with very low or low gradient	4-72
Table 4.38. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-72
Table 4.39. Habitat types used by the shortnose sturgeon in the Coastal Plain-Potomac EDU	4-74
Table 4.40. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the bridle shiner in the Coastal Plain-Potomac EDU	4-76
Table 4.41. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-77
Table 4.42. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-77
Table 4.43. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-78
Table 4.44. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	4-78
Table 4.45. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-79
Table 4.46. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with unknown habitat requirements	4-79
Table 4.47. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	4-81
Table 4.48. Aquatic SGCN with unknown habitat requirements	4-81
Table 4.49. Groundwater species of greatest conservation need in the Coastal Plain	4-85
Table 5.1. Names for the Piedmont as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	5-1
Table 5.2. The species of greatest conservation need in Virginia's Piedmont	5-5
Table 5.3 DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the wood turtle in the Piedmont-Potomac EDI	5-11

Table 5.4. Species-specific stresses on the wood turtle	5-12
Table 5.5. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-21
Table 5.6. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-21
Table 5.7. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-22
Table 5.8. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-22
Table 5.9. Open vegetated habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-23
Table 5.10. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-23
Table 5.11. Scrub-shrub species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-24
Table 5.12. Developed habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-24
Table 5.13. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-25
Table 5.14. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-25
Table 5.15. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-26
Table 5.16. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-26
Table 5.17. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Roanoke logperch in the Piedmont-Roanoke EDU	5-29
Table 5.18. DGIF Aquatic habitat types used by the James spinymussel in the Piedmont-Roanoke EDU	5-31
Table 5.19. Stresses on the James spinymussel.	5-31
Table 5.20. Aquatic SGCN in headwaters and small streams with low to moderate gradient	5-32
Table 5.21. Aquatic SGCN in small streams with very low or low gradient	5-33
Table 5.22. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low gradient	5-33
Table 5.23. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need in medium to large rivers	5-33
Table 5.24. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-33
Table 5.25. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Roanoke logperch in the Piedmont-Chowan EDU	5-35
Table 5.26. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low gradient connected to similarly sized streams	5-36
Table 5.27. Aquatic SGCN in large streams to small rivers with very low gradient connected to similarly sized streams.	5-37
Table 5.28. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-37
Table 5.29. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the bridle shiner in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-39
Table 5.30. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Virginia pigtoe in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-41
Table 5.31. Species-specific threats listed for the Virginia pigtoe	5-41
Table 5.32. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the James spinymussel in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-43
Table 5.33. Stresses on the James spinymussel.	5-43
Table 5.34. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low gradient connected to similarly sized streams	5-46

Table 5.35. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	5-46
Table 5.36. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-46
Table 5.37. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by bridle shiner in the Piedmont-York EDU	5-49
Table 5.38. Aquatic SGCN in small streams with very low gradient connected to other small streams	5-50
Table 5.39. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	5-50
Table 5.40. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-50
Table 5.41. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by bridle shiner in the Piedmont-Rappahannock EDU	5-52
Table 5.42. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low or low gradient connected to small or large streams	5-53
Table 5.43. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	5-54
Table 5.44. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-54
Table 5.45. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by bridle shiner in the Piedmont-Potomac EDU	5-56
Table 5.46. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low gradient connected to small or large streams	5-57
Table 5.47. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	5-57
Table 5.48. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-57
Table 5.49. Aquatic SGCN in small streams with low gradient connected to small streams	5-58
Table 5.50. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	5-58
Table 5.51. Cave species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-60
Table 5.52. Groundwater species of greatest conservation need in the Piedmont	5-60
Table 6.1. Names for the Blue Ridge as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	6-2
Table 6.2. The species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-6
Table 6.3. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by wood turtle in the Blue Ridge-Potomac EDU	6-14
Table 6.4. Species-specific stresses on the wood turtle	6-15
Table 6.5. Species-specific stresses on the bog turtle	6-16
Table 6.6. Species-specific stresses on the Carolina northern flying squirrel	6-28
Table 6.7. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-32
Table 6.8. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-32
Table 6.9. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-33
Table 6.10. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-33
Table 6.11. Open vegetated habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-34
Table 6.12. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-35
Table 6.13. Scrub-shrub habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-35
Table 6.14. Developed habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-36

Table 6.15. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-36
Table 6.16. Balds species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-36
Table 6.17. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-37
Table 6.18. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-37
Table 6.19. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-37
Table 6.20. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by Tennessee dace in the Blue Ridge-Holston EDU	6-40
Table 6.21. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with low to moderate gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-42
Table 6.22. Aquatic SGCN in headwater and small streams with low to high gradient	6-42
Table 6.23. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-43
Table 6.24. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by cryptic willowfly in the Blue Ridge-New EDU	6-45
Table 6.25. Aquatic SGCN in small streams, large streams, and small rivers with very low to moderate gradient connected to similarly sized streams.	6-45
Table 6.26. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low to moderate gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-46
Table 6.27. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-46
Table 6.28. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by Roanoke logperch in the Blue Ridge-Roanoke EDU	6-48
Table 6.29. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	6-49
Table 6.30. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with low to moderate gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-50
Table 6.31. Aquatic SGCN in small streams, large streams, and small rivers with very low gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-50
Table 6.32. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need in headwaters and small streams	6-50
Table 6.33. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-50
Table 6.34. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	6-52
Table 6.35. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-52
Table 6.36. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by James spinymussel in the Blue Ridge-James EDU	6-54
Table 6.37. Stresses on the James spinymussel.	6-54
Table 6.38. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	6-55
Table 6.39. Aquatic SGCN in small to large streams with very low or low gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-56
Table 6.40. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-56
Table 6.41. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	6-57
Table 6.42. Aquatic SGCN in small streams with low gradient connected to similarly sized streams	6-57
Table 6.43. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-57
Table 6.44. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	6-59

Table 6.45. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	6-59
Table 6.46. Cave species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-60
Table 6.47. Groundwater species of greatest conservation need in the Blue Ridge	6-60
Table 7.1. Names for the Ridge and Valley as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	7-1
Table 7.2. The species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-6
Table 7.3. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the wood turtle in the Ridge and Valley	7-17
Table 7.4. Species-specific stresses on the wood turtle	7-18
Table 7.5. Species-specific stresses on the Virginia northern flying squirrel	7-32
Table 7.6. Species-specific stresses on Virginia northern flying squirrel	7-34
Table 7.7. Species-specific stresses on the Indiana myotis	7-35
Table 7.8. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-42
Table 7.9. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-43
Table 7.10. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-44
Table 7.11. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-44
Table 7.12. Open vegetated habitat generalist SGCN in the Ridge and Valley	7-45
Table 7.13. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-46
Table 7.14. Scrub-shrub species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-46
Table 7.15. Developed habitat generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-47
Table 7.16. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-47
Table 7.17. Balds species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-47
Table 7.18. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-48
Table 7.19. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-48
Table 7.20. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-48
Table 7.21. Habitat types used by the ashy darter in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-51
Table 7.22. Habitat types used by the duskytail darter in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-52
Table 7.23. Habitat types used by the yellowfin madtom in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-54
Table 7.24. Habitat types used by the birdwing pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-56
Table 7.25. Habitat types used by the fanshell in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-57
Table 7.26. Habitat types used by the dromedary pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-60
Table 7.27. Habitat types used by the Cumberlandian combshell in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-62
Table 7.28. Habitat types used by the oyster mussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-63
Table 7.29. Habitat types used by the tan riffleshell in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-65
Table 7.30. Habitat types used by the green blossom pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-67

<i>Table 7.31.</i> Habitat types used by the shiny pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-68
Table 7.32. Habitat types used by the fine-rayed pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell watershed EDU	7-70
Table 7.33. Habitat types used by the cracking pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-72
Table 7.34. Habitat types used by the little-wing pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-74
Table 7.35. Habitat types used by the rough pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-77
Table 7.36. Habitat types used by the rough rabbitsfoot in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-78
Table 7.37. Habitat types used by the Cumberland monkeyface in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-79
Table 7.38. Habitat types used by the Appalachian monkeyface in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-81
Table 7.39. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the purple bean in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-84
Table 7.40. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Cumberland bean in the Clinch-Powell watershed	7-84
Table 7.41. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers connected to other small rivers	7-86
Table 7.42. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient large streams and small rivers	7-86
Table 7.43. Aquatic SGCN in very low or low gradient small streams, large streams and small rivers	7-87
Table 7.44. Aquatic SGCN in very low or low gradient small to large streams	7-87
Table 7.45. Aquatic SGCN in headwaters and small streams.	7-88
Table 7.46. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-88
Table 7.47. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the spotfin chub in the Holston River watershed	7-91
Table 7.48. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the sharphead darter in the Holston watershed	7-93
Table 7.49. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Tennessee dace in the Holston watershed	7-96
Table 7.50. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the tan riffleshell in the Holston watershed	7-96
Table 7.51. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the shiny pigtoe in the Holston River watershed	7-99
Table 7.52. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the little winged-pearlymussel in the Holston watershed	7-100
Table 7.53. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the rough rabbitsfoot in the Holston watershed	7-103
Table 7.54. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient large streams and small rivers	7-103
Table 7.55. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient large streams	7-104
Table 7.56. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient small to large streams	7-104
Table 7.57. Aquatic SGCNin low to high gradient headwater and small streams	7-105
Table 7.58. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient small streams to small rivers	7-105
Table 7.59. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-105
Table 7.60. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient large streams, small rivers, and large rivers	7-109
Table 7.61. Aquatic SGCN in very low to moderate gradient small streams, large streams, and small rivers	7-109
Table 7.62. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-109
Table 7.63. Aquatic SGCn: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-111

Table 7.64. Habitat types used by the Roanoke logperch in the Ridge and Valley-Roanoke EDU	7-113
Table 7.65. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	7-114
Table 7.66. Aquatic SGCN in very low to moderate gradient small and large streams	7-114
Table 7.67. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-114
Table 7.68. Habitat types used by the James spinymussel in the Ridge and Valley-James EDU	7-116
Table 7.69. Stresses on the James spinymussel (Mussel TAC 2004)	7-117
Table 7.70. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	7-118
Table 7.71. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient large streams, small rivers and large rivers	7-118
Table 7.72. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers	7-118
Table 7.73. Aquatic SGCN in very low to moderate gradient small streams, large streams and small rivers	7-118
Table 7.74. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-119
Table 7.75. Aquatic species of greatest conservation need with migratory habits	7-119
Table 7.76. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient to moderate gradient headwaters and small streams	7-120
Table 7.77. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient large streams and small rivers	7-120
Table 7.78. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	7-120
Table 7.79. Cave species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-132
Table 7.80. Groundwater species of greatest conservation need in the Ridge and Valley	7-134
Table 8.1. Names for the Northern Cumberlands as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	8-1
Table 8.2. The species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-5
Table 8.3. Species-specific stresses on the Indiana myotis	8-15
Table 8.4. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-17
Table 8.5. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-17
Table 8.6. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-18
Table 8.7. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-18
Table 8.8. Open vegetated habitat generalist SGCN in the Northern Cumberlands	8-19
Table 8.9. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-19
Table 8.10. Scrub-shrub species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-29
Table 8.11. Developed habitat generalist SGCN in the Northern Cumberlands	8-20
Table 8.12. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-20
Table 8.13. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-21
Table 8.14. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-21
Table 8.15. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-21
Table 8.16. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the shiny pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell River watershed	8-23

Table 8.17. Habitat types used by the fine-rayed pigtoe in the Northern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	8-25
Table 8.18. Aquatic SGCN in very low and low gradient small streams	8-26
Table 8.19. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers connected to other small rivers	8-27
Table 8.20. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	8-27
Table 8.21. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient large streams and small rivers	8-28
Table 8.22. Aquatic SGCN in very low or low gradient small to large streams	8-29
Table 8.23. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	8-29
Table 8.24. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	8-30
Table 8.25. Cave species of greatest conservation need in the Northern Cumberlands	8-32
Table 9.1. Names for the Southern Cumberlands as used in other ecoregional schemes and planning efforts	9-1
Table 9.2. The species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-6
Table 9.3. Forest generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-14
Table 9.4. Deciduous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-14
Table 9.5. Coniferous forest species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-15
Table 9.6. Mixed forest species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-15
Table 9.7. Open vegetated habitat generalist SGCN in the Southern Cumberlands	9-15
Table 9.8. Herbaceous habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-16
Table 9.9. Scrub-shrub species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-16
Table 9.10. Developed habitat generalist SGCN in the Southern Cumberlands	9-17
Table 9.11. Other barren habitat species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-17
Table 9.12. Wetland generalist species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-18
Table 9.13. Emergent wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-18
Table 9.14. Wooded wetland species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-18
Table 9.15. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by slender chub in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-20
Table 9.16. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by ashy darter in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-22
Table 9.17. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by duskytail darter in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-24
Table 9.18. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the yellowfin madtom in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-25
Table 9.19. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by birdwing pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-27
Table 9.20. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the fanshell in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-29
Table 9.21. Habitat types used by the dromedary pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-31
Table 9.22. Habitat types used by the Cumberlandian combshell in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-32
Table 9.23. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by oyster mussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-35
Table 9.24 Habitat types used by the green blossom pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-36

Table 9.25. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the shiny pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-38
Table 9.26. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the fine-rayed pigtoe in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-40
Table 9.27. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the cracking pearlymussel in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-41
Table 9.28. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the rough rabbitsfoot in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-43
Table 9.29. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by Cumberland monkeyface in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-45
Table 9.30. Habitat types used by the Appalachian monkeyface in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-46
Table 9.31. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the purple bean in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-48
Table 9.32. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the Cumberland bean in the Clinch-Powell watershed	9-50
Table 9.33. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient large streams connected to other large streams	9-51
Table 9.34. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers connected to other small rivers	9-51
Table 9.35. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient large streams and small rivers	9-52
Table 9.36. Aquatic SGCN in very low or low gradient small streams, large streams and small rivers	9-53
Table 9.37. Aquatic SGCN in very low or low gradient small to large streams	9-53
Table 9.38. Aquatic SGCN in headwaters and small streams	9-53
Table 9.39. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	9-53
Table 9.40. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the spotfin chub in the Holston River watershed	9-56
Table 9.41. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by Tennessee dace in the Holston watershed	9-61
Table 9.42. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the shiny pigtoe in the Holston River watershed	9-61
Table 9.43. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by fine-rayed pigtoe in the Holston River watershed	9-62
Table 9.44. DGIF aquatic habitat types used by the rough rabbitsfoot in the Holston watershed	9-63
Table 9.45. Aquatic SGCN in very low gradient small rivers	9-65
Table 9.46. Aquatic SGCN in low gradient large streams	9-65
Table 9.47. Aquatic SGCN in very low small streams and low gradient large streams	9-65
Table 9.48. Aquatic SCGN in very low and low gradient small streams to small rivers	9-66
Table 9.49. Aquatic SGCN: generalists and those with unknown habitat requirements	9-66
Table 9.50. Cave species of greatest conservation need in the Southern Cumberlands	9-72
Table 10.1. Conservation actions affecting terrestrial species.	10-7
Table 10.2. Conservation actions affecting aquatic species	10-14
Table 10.3. Categorized conservation actions identified by the TACs, ESC, and the community meetings	10-20
Table 10.4. Existing bird monitoring efforts compiled by Bird TAC	10-31
<i>Table 10.5.</i> Evaluating the effectiveness of conservation actions in Virginia's CWCS	10-41

#### **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1. Virginia CWCS organizational structure	2-2
Figure 2.2. Virginia's ecoregions based on Bailey's Sections	2-13
Figure 2.3. Place (Estuarine/Marine, Submontane, Montane, and High Elevation)	2-15
Figure 2.4. Drainage basins used in the development of the EDUs	2-18
Figure 2.5. Ecoregional drainage units developed for the DGIF aquatic habitat classification hierarchy	2-19
Figure 2.6. Size classes assigned to streams in a section of the Roanoke River drainage	2-22
Figure 2.7. Connectivity classes assigned to reaches in a section of the Roanoke drainage	2-23
Figure 2.8. Gradient classes assigned to reaches in a section of the Roanoke drainage	2-24
Figure 2.9. Aquatic classification types assigned to the reaches in a section of the Roanoke drainage	2-25
Figure 2.10. Connection of Collections records to the attributed reaches	2-26
Figure 3.1. Land cover of Virginia	3-4
Figure 3.2. Relative Phenological Index, showing delay in phenologic events in days	3-5
Figure 3.3. VA-GAP overall species richness	3-6
Figure 3.4. VA-GAP amphibian species richness	3-7
Figure 3.5. VA-GAP bird species richness	3-7
Figure 3.6. VA-GAP mammal species richness	3-8
Figure 3.7. VA-GAP reptile species richness	3-8
Figure 3.8. VA-GAP underprotected species richness	3-9
Figure 3.9. Tidal wetland permitted project areas	3-12
Figure 3.10. Nontidal wetland permitted project areas	3-13
Figure 3.11. The percentage of developed land use within each EDU	3-22
Figure 3.12. The percentage of agricultural land use within each EDU	3-23
Figure 3.13. Human population density from 2000 census block groups	3-34
Figure 3.14. Population changes as percentages from 1980 to 2000	3-34
Figure 3.15. Predicted percent change in population from 2000 to 2009	3-35
Figure 3.16. High impact growth areas	3-36
Figure 3.17. Population density trends by ecoregion	3-36
Figure 4.1. The Mid-Atlantic Coastal Plain ecoregion	4-1
Figure 4.2. Proportional composition of land cover within the Coastal Plain compared to proportion of land cover within protected areas of the Coastal Plain	4-3
Figure 4.3, 2000 population density in the Coastal Plain	1-1

Figure 4.4. High impact growth areas, highlighted for the Coastal Plain	4-5
Figure 4.5. Distribution of loggerhead sea turtle nesting sites in Virginia	4-21
Figure 4.6. Distribution of the wood turtle in the Coastal Plain	4-23
Figure 4.7. Distribution of the chicken turtle in the Coastal Plain	4-25
Figure 4.8. Distribution of Bachman's sparrow in the Coastal Plain	4-26
Figure 4.9. Distribution of Henslow's sparrow in the Coastal Plain	4-28
Figure 4.10. Distribution of the piping plover in the Coastal Plain	4-30
Figure 4.11. Distribution of Wilson's plover in the Coastal Plain	4-32
Figure 4.12. Potential habitat of Wayne's black-throated green warbler in the Coastal Plain	4-33
Figure 4.13. Distribution of the peregrine falcon in the Coastal Plain	4-35
Figure 4.14. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Coastal Plain	4-36
Figure 4.15. Distribution of the black rail in the Coastal Plain	4-38
Figure 4.16. Distribution of red-cockaded woodpecker in the Coastal Plain	4-40
Figure 4.17. Distribution of the gull-billed tern in the Coastal Plain	4-42
Figure 4.18. Distribution of the eastern big-eared bat in the Coastal Plain	4-44
Figure 4.19. Location of the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-56
Figure 4.20. Location of blackbanded sunfish habitat in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-58
Figure 4.21. Location of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-59
Figure 4.22. Location of Roanoke logperch habitat in the Coastal Plain-Chowan EDU	4-61
Figure 4.23. Location of the Coastal Plain-James EDU	4-64
Figure 4.24. Location of confirmed habitat for the bridle shiner in the Coastal Plain-James EDU	4-65
Figure 4.25. Location of the Coastal Plain-York EDU	4-68
Figure 4.26. Location of the Coastal Plain-Rappahannock EDU	4-70
Figure 4.27. Location of habitat for the shortnose sturgeon in the Coastal Plain-Rappahannock EDU.	4-71
Figure 4.28. Location of the Coastal Plain-Potomac EDU	4-73
Figure 4.29. Locations of shortnose sturgeon records in the Coastal Plain-Potomac EDU	4-74
Figure 4.30. Locations of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Coastal Plain-Potomac EDU	4-76
Figure 4.31. Location of the Coastal Plain-Delmarva EDU	4-78
Figure 4.32. Location of the Coastal Plain-Chesapeake Bay tributaries EDU	4-80
Figure 4.33. Location of the Coastal Plain-Albemarle Sound EDU	4-81
Figure 4.34. Distribution of phreatic isopod in the Coastal Plain	4-82
Figure 4.35. Distribution of the northern Virginia well amphipod in the Coastal Plain	4-84
Figure 4.36. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Coastal Plain	4-86

Figure 5.1. The Southern Appalachian Piedmont ecoregion	5-1
Figure 5.2. Proportional composition of land cover types within the overall Piedmont compared to proportion of land cover types within protected areas in the Piedmont	5-3
Figure 5.3. Population density from the 2000 census, highlighted for the Piedmont	5-4
Figure 5.4. High impact growth areas, highlighted for the Piedmont	5-4
Figure 5.5. Distribution of the wood turtle in the Piedmont	5-11
Figure 5.6. Distribution of Bachman's sparrow in the Piedmont	5-13
Figure 5.7. Occurrences of Henslow's sparrow in the Piedmont	5-15
Figure 5.8. Distribution of the upland sandpiper in the Piedmont	5-17
Figure 5.9. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Piedmont	5-18
Figure 5.10. Occurrences of the spirit supercoil in the Piedmont	5-20
Figure 5.11. Location of the Piedmont-Roanoke EDU	5-27
Figure 5.12. Location of Roanoke logperch habitat in the Piedmont-Roanoke EDU	5-28
Figure 5.13. Location of James spinymussel habitat in the Piedmont-Roanoke EDU	5-30
Figure 5.14. Location of the Piedmont-Chowan EDU	5-35
Figure 5.15. Location of Roanoke logperch habitat in the Piedmont-Chowan EDU	5-35
Figure 5.16. Location of the Piedmont-James EDU	5-39
Figure 5.17. Location of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-39
Figure 5.18. Confirmed habitat for the Virginia pigtoe in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-41
Figure 5.19. Location of James spinymussel habitat in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-43
Figure 5.20. Stream Conservation Units (DCR-NH 2005) containing the Virginia Piedmont water boatman in the Piedmont-James EDU	5-45
Figure 5.21. Location of the Piedmont-York EDU	5-48
Figure 5.22. Location of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Piedmont-York EDU	5-48
Figure 5.23. Location of the Piedmont-Rapphannock EDU	5-52
Figure 5.24. Location of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Piedmont-Rappanannock EDU	5-53
Figure 5.25. Location of the Piedmont-Potomac EDU	5-55
Figure 5.26. Location of confirmed bridle shiner habitat in the Piedmont-Potomac EDU	5-56
Figure 5.27. Location of the Piedmont-Pee Dee EDU	5-59
Figure 5.28. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Piedmont	5-61
Figure 6.1. The Blue Ridge Mountains ecoregion	6-1
Figure 6.2. Proportional composition of land cover within the Blue Ridge compared to proportion of land cover within protected areas of the Blue Ridge	6-4
Figure 6.3. Population density from the 2000 census, highlighted for the Blue Ridge ecoregion	6-5

Figure 6.4. High impact growth areas in the Blue Ridge	6-5
Figure 6.5. Distribution of the Shenandoah salamander in the Blue Ridge	6-12
Figure 6.6. Distribution of the wood turtle in the Blue Ridge	6-14
Figure 6.7. Distribution of the bog turtle in the Blue Ridge	6-16
Figure 6.8. Distribution of the northern pinesnake in the Blue Ridge	6-18
Figure 6.9. Potential peregrine falcon distribution in the Blue Ridge	6-19
Figure 6.10. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Blue Ridge	6-21
Figure 6.11. Distribution of the red crossbill (type I) in the Blue Ridge	6-22
Figure 6.12. Distribution of the Appalachian yellow-bellied sapsucker in the Blue Ridge	6-24
Figure 6.13. Distribution of the golden-winged warbler in the Blue Ridge	6-25
Figure 6.14. Distribution of the Carolina northern flying squirrel in the Blue Ridge	6-27
Figure 6.15. Distribution of Mitchell's satyr in the Blue Ridge	6-29
Figure 6.16. The distribution of the Buffalo Mountain mealybug in the Blue Ridge	6-30
Figure 6.17. Distribution of the Laurel Creek xystodesmid millipede in the Blue Ridge	6-31
Figure 6.18. Location of the Blue Ridge-Holston EDU	6-39
Figure 6.19. Location of Tennessee dace habitat in the Blue Ridge-Holston EDU	6-40
Figure 6.20. Location of confirmed cryptic willowfly habitat in the Blue Ridge-Holston EDU	6-41
Figure 6.21. Location of the Blue Ridge-New EDU	6-44
Figure 6.22. Location of confirmed cryptic willowfly habitat in the Blue Ridge-New EDU	6-44
Figure 6.23. Location of the Blue Ridge-Roanoke EDU	6-48
Figure 6.24. Location of Roanoke logperch habitat in the Blue Ridge-Roanoke EDU	6-48
Figure 6.25. Location of the Blue Ridge-Pee Dee EDU	6-51
Figure 6.26. Location of the Blue Ridge-James EDU.	6-53
Figure 6.27. Location of confirmed and potential James spinymussel habitat in the Blue Ridge-James EDU	6-54
Figure 6.28. Location of the Blue Ridge-Rappahannock EDU	6-57
Figure 6.29. Location of the Blue Ridge-Potomac EDU	6-58
Figure 6.30. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Blue Ridge	6-61
Figure 7.1. The Northern Ridge and Valley ecoregion	7-1
Figure 7.2. Proportional composition of land cover within the Ridge and Valley compared to proportion of land cover within protected areas of the Ridge and Valley	7-4
Figure 7.3. Population density from the 2000 census, highlighted for the Ridge and Valley	7-5
Figure 7.4. High impact growth areas in the Ridge and Valley	7-5
Figure 7.5. Distribution of the wood turtle in the Ridge and Valley	7-17

Figure 7.6. Distribution of the northern pinesnake in the Ridge and Valley7-19
Figure 7.7. Distribution of Henslow's sparrow in the Ridge and Valley
Figure 7.8. Distribution of the upland sandpiper in the Ridge and Valley
Figure 7.9. Potential peregrine falcon distribution in the Ridge and Valley7-23
Figure 7.10. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Ridge and Valley7-25
Figure 7.11. Distribution of Appalachian yellow-bellied sapsucker in the Ridge and Valley7-26
Figure 7.12. Distribution of the Appalachian Bewick's wren in the Ridge and Valley7-28
Figure 7.13. Distribution of the golden-winged warbler in the Ridge and Valley7-29
Figure 7.14. Distribution of the Virginia northern flying squirrel in the Ridge and Valley7-31
Figure 7.15. Distribution of the snowshoe hare in the Ridge and Valley7-33
Figure 7.16. Distribution of the Indiana myotis in the Ridge and Valley7-35
Figure 7.17. Distribution of the Appalachian grizzled skipper in the Ridge and Valley7-36
Figure 7.18. Distribution of the regal fritillary in the Ridge and Valley7-38
Figure 7.19. Distribution of the shaggy coil in the Ridge and Valley
Figure 7.20. Distribution of the rubble coil in the Ridge and Valley7-40
Figure 7.21. Distribution of the Virginia fringed mountain snail in the Ridge and Valley7-41
Figure 7.22. Location of the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU
Figure 7.23. Stream Conservation Unit containing the ashy darter in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU
Figure 7.24. Location of duskytail darter habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-53
Figure 7.25. Location of habitat for the yellowfin madtom in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-54
Figure 7.26. Location of birdwing pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-56
Figure 7.27. Location of confirmed and potential fanshell habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-58
Figure 7.28. Location of dromedary pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-59
Figure 7.29. Location of Cumberlandian combshell habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-61
Figure 7.30. Location of oyster mussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-63
Figure 7.31. Location of tan riffleshell habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-65
Figure 7.32. Location of green blossom pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-66
Figure 7.33. Location of shiny pigtoe habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-68
Figure 7.34. Location of fine-rayed pigtoe habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-70
Figure 7.35. Location of cracking pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-71
Figure 7.36. Location of pink mucket habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-73
Figure 7.37. Location of little-wing pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU7-75

Figure 7.38. Location of rough pigtoe habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-76
Figure 7.39. Location of rough rabbitsfoot habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-78
Figure 7.40. Location of Cumberland monkeyface habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-80
Figure 7.41. Location of Appalachian monkeyface habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-81
Figure 7.42. Location of purple bean habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-83
Figure 7.43. Location of Cumberland bean habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Clinch EDU	7-85
Figure 7.44. Location of the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-90
Figure 7.45. Location of habitat for the spotfin chub in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-90
Figure 7.46. Location of sharphead darter habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-92
Figure 7.47. Location of Tennessee dace habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-95
Figure 7.48. Location of tan riffleshell habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-97
Figure 7.49. Location of shiny pigtoe habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-98
Figure 7.50. Location of little-wing pearlymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-100
Figure 7.51. Stream Conservation Unit containing the rough rabbitsfoot habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Holston EDU	7-102
Figure 7.52. Location of the Ridge and Valley-New EDU	7-106
Figure 7.53. Location of big stripetail stonefly habitat in the Ridge and Valley-New EDU	7-108
Figure 7.54. Location of the Ridge and Valley-Big Sandy EDU	7-110
Figure 7.55. Location of the Ridge and Valley-Roanoke EDU	7-112
Figure 7.56. Location of Roanoke logperch habitat in the Ridge and Valley-Roanoke EDU	7-112
Figure 7.57. Location of the Ridge and Valley-James EDU	7-116
Figure 7.58. Location of James spinymussel habitat in the Ridge and Valley-James EDU	7-116
Figure 7.59. Location of the Ridge and Valley-Potomac EDU	7-120
Figure 7.60. Distribution of Natural Bridge cave isopod in the Ridge and Valley	7-122
Figure 7.61. Location of Virginia springsnail habitat in the Ridge and Valley-James EDU	7-123
Figure 7.62. Distribution of the Rye Cove isopod in the Ridge and Valley	7-123
Figure 7.63. Distribution of Chandler's planarian in the Ridge and Valley	7-126
Figure 7.64. Distribution of the Rockbridge County cave planarian in the Ridge and Valley	7-127
Figure 7.65. Distribution of Stylodrilus beattiei in the Ridge and Valley	7-128
Figure 7.66. Distribution of the ephemeral cave amphipod in the Ridge and Valley	7-129
Figure 7.67. Distribution of the Madison Cave amphipod in the Ridge and Valley	7-131
Figure 7.68. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Ridge and Valley	7-135
Figure 8.1. The Northern Cumberland Mountains ecoregion	8-1

Figure 8.2. Proportional composition of land cover within the Northern Cumberlands compared to the proportion of land cover within protected areas of the Northern Cumberlands	8-3
Figure 8.3. Population density from the 2000 census for the Northern Cumberlands ecoregion	8-4
Figure 8.4. High impact growth areas in the Northern Cumberlands ecoregion	8-4
Figure 8.5. Distribution of the peregrine falcon in the Northern Cumberlands	8-10
Figure 8.6. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Northern Cumberlands	8-11
Figure 8.7. Distribution of Appalachian Bewick's wren in the Northern Cumberlands	8-13
Figure 8.8. Distribution of the Indiana myotis in the Northern Cumberlands	8-15
Figure 8.9. Distribution of the brown supercoil in the Northern Cumberlands	8-16
Figure 8.10. Location of the Northern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	8-23
Figure 8.11. Location of shiny pigtoe habitat in the Northern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	8-24
Figure 8.12. Location of fine-rayed pigtoe habitat in the Northern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	8-25
Figure 8.13. Location of the Northern Cumberlands-Big Sandy EDU	8-28
Figure 8.14. Location of the Northern Cumberlands-New EDU	8-30
Figure 8.15. Distribution of the Cumberland Gap cave isopod in the Northern Cumberlands	8-31
Figure 8.16. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Northern Cumberlands	8-33
Figure 9.1. The Southern Cumberland Mountains ecoregion	9-1
Figure 9.2. Proportional composition of land cover within the Southern Cumberlands and the proportion of land cover within protected areas	9-4
Figure 9.3. Population density for the Southern Cumberlands	9-5
Figure 9.4. High impact growth areas in the Southern Cumberlands	9-5
Figure 9.5. Distribution of the loggerhead shrike in the Southern Cumberlands	9-13
Figure 9.6. Location of the Southern Cumberland-Clinch EDU	9-19
Figure 9.7. Location of slender chub habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-20
Figure 9.8. Stream Conservation Unit containing the ashy darter in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-22
Figure 9.9. Location of duskytail darter habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-23
Figure 9.10. Location of yellowfin madtom habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-25
Figure 9.11. Location of birdwing pearlymussel habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-27
Figure 9.12. Location of fanshell habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-29
Figure 9.13. Location of dromedary pearlymussel habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-31
Figure 9.14. Location of Cumberlandian combshell habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-33

Figure 9.15. Location of oyster mussel habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-34
Figure 9.16. Location of green blossom pearlymussel habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-36
Figure 9.17. Location of shiny pigtoe habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-38
Figure 9.18. Location of fine-rayed pigtoe habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-39
Figure 9.19. Location of cracking pearlymussel habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-42
Figure 9.20. Location of rough rabbitsfoot habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-43
Figure 9.21. Location of Cumberland monkeyface habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-45
Figure 9.22. Location of Appalachian monkeyface habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-47
Figure 9.23. Location of purple bean habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-49
Figure 9.24. Location of Cumberland bean habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Clinch EDU	9-50
Figure 9.25. Location of the Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-55
Figure 9.26. Location of habitat for the spotfin chub in the Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-56
Figure 9.27. Location of Tennessee dace habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-58
Figure 9.28. Location of shiny pigtoe habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-60
Figure 9.29. Location of fine-rayed pigtoe habitat in the Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-62
Figure 9.30. Location of rough rabbitsfoot habitat Southern Cumberlands-Holston EDU	9-64
Figure 9.31. Distribution of Holsinger's cave beetle in the Southern Cumberlands	9-67
Figure 9.32. Distribution of Unthanks Cave snail in the Southern Cumberlands	9-69
Figure 9.33. Distribution of the Lee County cave isopod in the Southern Cumberlands	9-70
Figure 9.34. Distribution of the Powell Valley planarian in the Southern Cumberlands	9-72
Figure 9.35. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species in the Southern Cumberlands	9-74
Figure 10.1. Potential and confirmed habitat for Tier I species	10-26