Appendix L. Summaries of Community Meetings Facilitated by VCU's Center for Public Policy



Summary of Stakeholder Input Sessions

Prepared by: The VCU Center for Public Policy

for: The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries and CWCS External Steering Committee

February 2005

This effort was funded through a grant provided by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service State Wildlife Grants Program (VA-T-1)

Virginia's Comprehensive

Wildlife Conservation Strategy

Summary of Stakeholder Input Sessions

Table of Contents

Overview of the Stakeholder Input Process 1
Summary of Results
What is Working Well and Keys to Success
What Needs Improvement and How to Improve It 5
Critical Conservation Concerns
Appendices
L1. Participating Organizations and Agencies 12
L2. Abingdon Session 14
L3. Accomac Session 17
L4. Alexandria 20
L5. Annandale
L6. Harrisonburg 26
L7. Lynchburg
L8. Richmond
L9. Richmond – P.M
L10. Roanoke
L11. South Boston
L12. Virginia Beach 44
L13. Virginia Beach – P.M
L14. Warsaw 49

Overview of the Stakeholder Input Process

The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (DGIF) contracted with the Center for Public Policy at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) to design, conduct and document fourteen stakeholder input sessions across the Commonwealth. These sessions gathered critical input in preparation of Virginia's first Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (CWCS). This information was reviewed by the External Steering Committee (a group of individuals representing key state, federal and nonprofit partners assembled to guide the planning process) to assist them in determining statewide conservation priorities and strategies.

External Steering Committee members provided input on the type of information that would be most beneficial to gather from stakeholders. VCU and DGIF staff used this information to design an interactive process that would permit each session attendee to share their ideas, opinions and perspectives regarding wildlife and habitat conservation issues.

DGIF identified more than 400 stakeholder groups. These groups represented a broad variety of state and federal government agencies, nonprofit conservation groups, hunting and fishing organizations, naturalist organizations, and other interested parties. Invitations and background information were mailed to stakeholders asking them to attend one of fourteen scheduled sessions.

Sessions were conducted during November and December 2004. Eleven sessions were held during normal business hours and three sessions were conducted during evening hours to maximize opportunities for participation. Both day and evening sessions were held in the urban regions of Central Virginia, Northern Virginia and Hampton Roads. The locations and dates of sessions were:

Abingdon – November 30	Richmond – November 8
Accomac – December 8	Richmond (evening) – November 18
Alexandria (evening) – November 15	Roanoke – November 30
Annandale – November 5	South Boston – November 10
Hampton – December 10	Virginia Beach – December 9
Harrisonburg – November 4	Virginia Beach (evening) – December 9
Lynchburg – November 3	Warsaw – November 9

Each input session followed a similar format. DGIF personnel opened each session with a short presentation describing: the goals and objectives of the CWCS, the planning process, progress to date and the importance and use of stakeholder input.

Participants then worked in both small and large groups to address the following questions:

- 1. What is working well now in Virginia with regard to species and habitat conservation? Why is this working well?
- 2. What <u>needs improvement</u> in Virginia with regard to species and habitat conservation? How could we improve it?
- 3. What are the <u>most critical issues</u> that need to be addressed during the next ten years regarding species and habitat conservation?
- 4. What are the <u>highest priority needs</u> for conservation action?

Small groups were formed to generate responses to the first two questions. After reviewing this information, participants next worked to identify critical conservation issues to be addressed. Individual responses were grouped into similar categories and labeled. Participants were then given colored dots that were used to indicate the issues they considered to be the highest priorities for action.

Summary of Results

A total of 147 people attended the input sessions. Ninety-six different stakeholder organizations were represented covering a wide range of interests, activities and geographic boundaries (Appendix L1).

A summary of each input session was prepared for review and analysis. The Hampton session contained only one participant who stayed for the opening presentation but declined to provide additional information. The following discussion reflects the comments received during the other thirteen meetings (detailed summaries of each meeting are provided in Appendices L2 through L14).

What is Working Well and Keys to Success

Participants provided more than 200 separate examples of programs, activities and organizations that, in their opinion, are helping to conserve wildlife and habitat in Virginia. These comments were collated across all sessions and sorted into similar thematic categories. A total of ten themes emerged from this data. These themes are described below and are prioritized according to the number of sessions (in parentheses) in which a comment was provided that fell within the particular theme.

Public Education Programs (13)

Public education and outreach efforts offered through schools, local and state parks, nature camps and conservation organizations are beneficial in raising awareness of and appreciation for conservation issues. Hunter and angler education programs, *Virginia Wildlife* magazine and the *Virginia Birding and Wildlife Trail Guides* are examples of effective educational approaches.

Habitat Protection and Restoration (13)

Local, state and national groups improve habitats and biodiversity through such efforts as dam removal, wetlands creation and improvement or creation of freshwater impoundments. Management of existing habitats, such as National Forests and State Parks, is also important through such methods as prescribed burnings and creating connections among greenways.

Collaboration and Partnerships (11)

Cooperative efforts between state and federal government conservation agencies, nonprofit organizations, citizen groups and private industries to identify and protect critical habitats and species are an important contributing factor for successful conservation efforts.

Financial Incentives (11)

State and federal programs that provide cost-sharing mechanisms for buffer strips and tax incentives for conservation easements and land donations were viewed as valuable tools for preserving habitat. Land use value taxation, Agriculture and Forest Districts and programs to purchase development rights helps keep land in fields and forest.

Research and Knowledge (11)

Inventorying and mapping of species and habitats continues to increase knowledge of resources and improves the ability to develop threat assessments. The general public and decision-makers have greater access to data and information regarding conservation needs.

Keys to Success

- Bringing children and adults into contact with and building their understanding of nature
- Connecting conservation efforts with economic benefits
- Increasing public interest and support for conservation efforts
- Informing future decision-makers

Keys to Success

- Expanding cooperative efforts between the various conservation agencies and organizations
- Funding to support efforts
- Increasing biodiversity
- Replanting and growth of forests

Keys to Success

- Dedicated, quality staff
- Improving communication among a range of conservation groups
- Improving program effectiveness and achieving higher quality results
- Increasing the knowledge base across organizations by sharing information
- Leveraging funds and expertise

Keys to Success

- Cooperative, voluntary incentive programs that encourage landowners to participate
- Protecting very sensitive, fragile areas, such as stream banks
- Reducing financial pressure on landowners to develop land

Keys to Success

- Communicating and sharing information
- Development of management plans using improved information
- Disseminating information to the public and decision-makers
- Gathering new data and information

Conservation Organizations (10)

National, state and regional conservation organizations play an important role in identifying and protecting habitats and species. Land purchases, acquisition of easements and public education and involvement programs are examples of effective activities.

Game Management (10)

Restrictions on catch and bag limits have helped restore a variety of game species and sportfish. Control of deer populations and other species helps thin herds to healthy and manageable levels and reduce conflicts between animals and humans.

Laws and Regulations (10)

State and federal programs such as the National Environmental Protection Act, Endangered Species Act, Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, and tidal and non-tidal wetlands regulations help to preserve critical habitat. Local watershed plans and development standards requiring buffer zones, catch basins and other water quality protection measures are beneficial.

Public Access and Use (6)

Local and state parks, aquariums, boat ramps and fishing access sites provide public access for passive and active outdoor recreational opportunities. Actively using these natural resources helps build understanding and appreciation for their value.

Funding to Support Programs (5)

Revenues from the sale of hunting and fishing licenses and collection of fines provides income for DGIF programs as does a portion of sales tax collected from the sale of outdoor recreation equipment. Membership fees for conservation organizations provide funding for regional and local conservation initiatives.

Keys to Success

- Being politically active to gain support from decision-makers
- Identifying and protecting critical habitats
- Offering ways for local people to get involved in effective conservation projects
- Passionate, dedicated staff and volunteers

Keys to Success

- Improving biodiversity
- Keeping hunters and anglers honest
- Increasing game populations

Keys to Success

- Limiting development near fragile riparian areas
- Mandating actions and compliance
- Protecting of small, threatened species populations
- Reducing pollutant and sedimentation loads on waterways

Keys to Success

- Offering hands-on educational programs and opportunities
- Providing direct contact with habitats and wildlife
- Readily available resources for use

Keys to Success

- Providing baseline funding for conservation efforts
- Funding for land acquisition and site specific habitat improvement

What Needs Improvement and How to Improve It

Nearly 200 comments were provided on conservation efforts that could be improved. These comments were collated across all sessions and sorted into similar thematic categories. A total of nine themes emerged from this data. These themes are described below and are prioritized according to the number of sessions (in parentheses) in which a comment was provided that fell within the particular theme.

Coordination and Working Partnerships (12)

Suggestions for Improvement

- Coordinate conservation plans
- Coordinate work efforts between state and federal agencies and nonprofits groups
- Create "one-stop shop" to assist landowners with conservation efforts and decrease response time when information or assistance is requested
- Enact interagency agreements among land managers to coordinate efforts
 - Hire more multidisciplinary personnel

VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Appendix L — Community Meeting Summaries DRAFT

- Host forums to bring agencies, organizations and interested citizens together to discuss and address issues
- Increase industry partnerships
- Integrate data resources
- Link terrestrial and marine conservation efforts
- Partner with local governments and provide technical assistance

Local and Regional Land Use Planning and Development Standards (12)

Public Education and

Awareness

(11)

Suggestions for Improvement

- Develop and implement riparian best management practices
- Develop local tree preservation ordinances
- Educate local decision-makers on best practices
- Hire a natural resource planner within each Planning District Commission
- Incorporate habitat and species protection into local and regional land use plans
- Minimize the addition of new roadways which destroy and/or fragment habitat and encourage sprawl
- Provide incentives for voluntary easements and preservation of unfragmented open space
- Reduce fragmentation of habitat
- Reduce sprawl and destruction of habitat through redevelopment and "smart growth" techniques

Suggestions for Improvement

- Conduct educational workshops for youth and adults to improve environmental understanding
- Create an Education Coordinator at the state level to coordinate outreach activities
- Create statewide multi-media marketing campaigns to make it "cool" to conserve and communicate the value and benefits of conservation efforts
- Increase wildlife education curriculum in schools and tie to the Standards of Learning
- Inform the public about how they can get involved in their community with land development decision-making and conservation efforts
- Inform the public about the economic and health benefits of conservation
- Prepare educational materials for political decision-makers
- Prepare publications for the general public to increase understanding about how to protect and improve water quality, habitat and wildlife diversity
- Produce a resource directory listing conservation-related agencies, programs and local contact information and distribute it to the public and post it on the Internet
- Utilize outdoor recreation providers as conservation educators

Habitat Improvement (10)

Suggestions for Improvement

- Create additional freshwater wetlands
- Develop a statewide land acquisition program to join fragmented habitats and wildlife corridors
- Expand stream clean-up and restoration activities
- Improve coordination of coastal impoundments and water draw downs
- Improve management of forest hardwoods, not just softwoods, on public lands
- Improve the management of public land vegetation and grasslands to maximize benefit to wildlife
- Increase technical assistance to private landowners on methods to manage and improve wildlife habitat
- Place more emphasis on preservation of existing resources versus mitigation efforts
- Promote the use of native landscaping materials through local nurseries

Control of Invasive Species, Plants and Predators (9)	 Suggestions for Improvement Control imports and educate the public on what to look for at nurseries and pet stores Create cost share programs and educational materials for landowners to reduce invasive plants Improve pet control programs to reduce feral dog and cat populations Manage game populations to reduce conflicts between animals and people Use Virginia Wildlife Magazine to educate the public about invasive plants and species
Enforcement of Existing Laws and Regulations	Suggestions for ImprovementExpand regulation of pesticides that are harmful to wildlife
(8)	Improve enforcement of local erosion and sediment control ordinancesIncrease enforcement of air, water and wetland laws
	 Increase the number of Game Wardens
	 Provide Wardens for all wildlife sanctuaries
	Require all hunters to buy a licenseStrengthen NEPA enforcement
	 Use game check-in stations to ensure accurate game counts
Funding for Conservation (8)	 Suggestions for Improvement Create a dedicated state level source of funding to support land acquisition programs Create new funding sources, such as check off boxes for voluntary contributions, on hunting and fishing license applications Increase funding for Chesapeake Bay programs Increase public lobbying efforts to increase governmental funding
Incentive Programs	Suggestions for Improvement
(8)	• Expand land use tax provisions
	 Increase funding for buffer strip cost share programs Increase tax incentives for conservations easements and land donations
	- increase tax incentives for conservations easements and faild donations
Legislation	Suggestions for Improvement
(5)	Increase controls over the use of fertilizers and pesticidesIncrease protections on rivers and the Chesapeake Bay
	 Increase the use of environmental impact studies
	 Provide local governments the authority to preserve and manage natural
	resources
	 Reduce permissible water and air pollutant levels
	 Return National Forests to multi-use to diversify habitats Strongthen method lows
	Strengthen wetland lawsEliminate hunting with dogs
	- Emmac numming with dogs

Critical Conservation Concerns

Session participants identified more than one hundred critical issues during the thirteen sessions. These critical issues analyzed and grouped into eight general areas of critical concern. Each area of concern is described below:

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

The decline and fragmentation of habitat emerged as an area of concern in all input sessions. This issue was consistently ranked as one of the highest priority concerns across the sessions (Table 1). Loss of habitat due to commercial, residential and roadway development was repeatedly mentioned. Lack of land use controls and development sprawl are viewed as major contributing factors to the increased fragmentation of existing habitats and wildlife corridors.

Also contributing to habitat decline is an increase of invasive plant and animal species and a rise in unwanted predator species. These factors contribute to the decline of biodiversity in some areas. Some concern was expressed over the proper management of existing habitats and the ability to maximize their benefit for wildlife.

Pollution Increases

The rise in pollution levels was also a concern identified in all sessions. Concern was expressed that overall levels of pollution and exposure to contaminants was occurring and that the effects were being witnessed at the global and national levels.

Declining water quality and quantity was a concern that surfaced in all regions of the state. Pollutants carried by surface water runoff and excessive erosion and sedimentation were often mentioned. Declining air quality was also of major concern.

Inadequate Funding

Participants in every session indicated that a lack of funding was hampering conservation efforts. In general, there is a perception and conservation is not a funding priority of state and federal government. Successful conservation programs, such as buffer strip programs, cannot meet demand due to inadequate funding levels.

Public Education and Support

Considerable comments were offered on the need to continue and expand public education and outreach efforts in ten of the thirteen sessions. Participants expressed concern that many citizens do not understand the value of natural areas and wildlife and the long term costs and consequences of inadequate conservation. Educating decision-makers was considered critical for generating increased funding and improving local and regional planning efforts.

Societal Trends

Concern was expressed at seven of the sessions on various societal trends that are making conservation more important and also more difficult. Population growth is placing increased pressures to develop open space and forested lands. Our society is highly consumptive of resources. An increasing percentage of Virginia's population are living in suburban and rural areas contributing to a lack of understanding and disconnect with the natural environment.

Coordination of Conservation Efforts

The need to better coordinate the variety of conservation activities being conducted by state and federal agencies, nonprofit organizations and other conservation groups was identified as an issue at four sessions. Maximizing expertise and resources can be enhanced by improved communication and expansion of partnerships.

Enforcement

Enforcement of existing laws and regulations was mentioned during two of the sessions. The ability to enforce game management and water quality protection laws were viewed as areas for improvement.

	Abingdon	Accomac	Alexandria	Annandale	Harrisonburg	Lynchburg	Richmond	Richmond pm	Roanoke	South Boston	Virginia Beach	Virginia Beach pm	Warsaw
Coordination		5		4							5		4
Enforcement	1											3	
Funding	5	6	2	2	2	4	3	2, 5	5	3, 5	2	3	1
Game Mgmt.		4, 8					6						
Habitat Decline Invasives		8	4	3	3		8		5	5	5	7	6
Loss	1	1	1	4		1, 3	1	1	1		1, 3	1	1
Management		1	4				5			5			
Planning				1	3, 6		2	2	2	1		3	
Pollution Increase Overall Decline		6			1			3	7, 10		8	6	
Air Quality			4	7		5			9		8		6
Water Qual/Quan	1			6		2	6	5	3	4	8		
Public Education	4	1		8	5	5	4	3			4	2	1
Societal Trends			3				8	5	3, 8	2, 5	8		8

Table 1. Matrix of most frequently cited concerns by session and priority ranking.

Note: Column numbers reflect the rank order of importance as determined by the number of votes per issue for each session. Duplicate numbers within each session reflect tie votes. Some sessions had more than one issue that was grouped into the general headings listed on the left.

Appendix L1. CWCS Stakeholder Input Sessions Participating Agencies and Organizations

- 1. Beaverdam Park (Gloucester Co.)
- 2. Blue Ridge Foothills Conservancy
- 3. Blue Ridge Parkway (National Park Service)
- 4. Board of Game and Inland Fisheries
- 5. Booker T. Washington National Monument (National Park Service)
- 6. Central Virginia Land Conservancy
- 7. City of Virginia Beach Agriculture Department
- 8. City of Virginia Beach Parks and Recreation Department
- 9. Coastal Virginia Wildlife Conservancy
- 10. Congressman Schrock's office
- 11. Dominion Virginia Power
- 12. Ducks Unlimited
- 13. Eastern Shore Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D)
- 14. Elizabeth River Chapter of the Virginia Native Plant Society
- 15. Elizabeth River Project
- 16. Fairfax Audubon
- 17. Fairfax County Park Authority
- 18. Fairfax Wetlands Board
- 19. Flint Hill School
- 20. Float Fishermen of Virginia
- 21. Friends of the Roanoke River
- 22. Friends of Huntley Meadows
- 23. Friends of Mason Neck State Park
- 24. Friends of Pocahontas State Park
- 25. Friends of the Rivers of Virginia
- 26. Hillside Garden Club
- 27. Holston River Soil and Water Conservation District
- 28. Izaak Walton League
- 29. James River State Park
- 30. Landowners Hunt Club
- 31. Mead Westvaco
- 32. Mid-Atlantic Exotic Post Plant Council
- 33. Mill Mountain Zoo
- 34. National Audubon Society
- 35. National Trappers Association
- 36. National Wild Turkey Federation
- 37. New River Land Trust
- 38. New River Valley Bird Club
- 39. Northern Neck Soil and Water Conservation District
- 40. Northern Virginia Conservation Trust
- 41. Pathways for Radford
- 42. Piedmont Environmental Council
- 43. RC&D (Warsaw meeting)
- 44. Richmond Audubon

- 45. Richmond Regional Planning District Commission
- 46. Roanoke City Council
- 47. Roanoke Valley Greenways
- 48. Save Crow's Nest
- 49. Save Our Streams-South Anna Monitoring Project
- 50. Sierra Club
- 51. Sierra Club-Battlefields Group
- 52. Sierra Club-Virginia Chapter
- 53. Tazewell County 4-H
- 54. Tazewell Soil and Water Conservation District
- 55. The Nature Conservancy
- 56. The Nature Conservancy-SW Field Office
- 57. The Nature Conservancy-Virginia Coast Reserve
- 58. Three Lakes Nature Center
- 59. Three Rivers Soil and Water Conservation District
- 60. Trust for Public Land
- 61. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- 62. U.S. Department of Agriculture-Wildlife Services
- 63. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge
- 64. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-Ecological Services (Virginia Field Office)
- 65. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Great Dismal Swamp Wildlife Refuge
- 66. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-Federal Assistance
- 67. U.S. Marine Corps (Quantico)
- 68. University of Virginia (Mountain Lake Biological Station)
- 69. Valley Conservation Council
- 70. Virginians for Appropriate Roads
- 71. Virginia Anglers Club
- 72. Virginia Aquarium and Marine Science Museum
- 73. Virginia Association of Marine Industries
- 74. Virginia Audubon Council
- 75. Virginia Beach Audubon
- 76. Virginia Bear Hunters Association
- 77. Virginia Butterfly Society
- 78. Virginia Coastal Program (Virginia Department of Environmental Quality)
- 79. Virginia Commonwealth University (Department of Biology)
- 80. Virginia Cooperative Extension

- 81. Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (Natural Heritage Division)
- 82. Virginia Department of Forestry
- 83. Virginia Department of Transportation
- 84. Virginia Forest Watch
- 85. Virginia Forestry Association
- 86. Virginia Hunting Preserve Association
- 87. Virginia Aquarium and Marine Science Center
- 88. Virginia Native Plant Society
- 89. Virginia Society of Ornithology
- 90. Virginia Taxidermist Association
- 91. Virginia Trappers Association
- 92. Virginia's United Land Trusts
- 93. Western Virginia Land Trust
- 94. Wetlands Watch
- 95. Wildlife Center of Virginia
- 96. WWWSportsmen of Virginia

Appendix L2. Stakeholder Input Session, Abingdon – November 30, 2004

Working Well	Why it is Working
Fire prevention	Education
CREP: Riparian Protection	• 90% cost share
 Hunters education 	Volunteers
Watershed groups	Localized
Projects for public - Kids in the creek, et	tc. Experience for kids
 Access to wildlife areas and state forests 	• Availability, education and recreation
Virginia Natural Resources Leadership I	Institute Collaborative work
 Holiday Lake Forestry Camp 	 Opportunity for kids to experience the outdoors
Reforestation of timberland	 Replanting of habitat
• State cost share for BMPs	Conserves riparian areas
• Riparian tax credits for not harvesting ti	mber • Money for conservation of land
• Local land trusts, expansion of capacity	 Provides tool for conserving land
 Harvesting management (game laws) 	Reduce population; focuses on best time of year for harvest
 Master gardening 	Bird houses
Wildlife garden	Butterfly gardens
 Greenways in urban areas 	Great traveling space for wildlife
 Prescribed burning 	 Controls physical habitat Creates open grasslands Maintains habitat
 Outdoor classrooms 	 Tie in class curriculum to outdoor sites
Game check-in stations	 Visually seeing deer Keep hunters honest

What is Working Well

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
 Urbanization and effects on wildlife 	 Reducing fragmentation
	Zoning and planning
	Set aside wilderness areas
	National and state forest and parks
	Open space/agricultural land
	Water quality (wastewater, storm drains, industry
Education	 Youth and adult workshops
	SOS stream monitoring
	Hunting and fishing guidelines
 TMDL Implementation 	 Strategies/funding
	More public input
 More personnel (Game Wardens) 	 Funding
	Employ more field deputies
 Game check-in stations 	 Continue with game check in stations vs. on-line
	check in – 1-800 #
 Invasive species – Kudzu 	 Better coordination
	Increase funding

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
	Management Education Research Regulation
Fish stocking	 Restructure stocking program Research site to ensure propagation and survival
Better BMPs and Implementation	Plan ahead – streamside management zone requirements: agriculture, logging, mining, commercial and construction
Landowner education	 What attracts landowners to classes/meetings
Watershed management	More local groups
Enforcement of E&S guidelines	Better focus from local government
Conservation of land	 New programs to influence landowners in state acquisition
Age of landowners	 Large turnover of land is coming
Communication of responsibilities	Contacting Game and Inland Fisheries
Licensing	All hunters must buy license
Game Warden recruiting	

Game/Habitat Regulation and Enforcement (3)	Water Quality and Quantity (3)	Habitat Loss & Fragmentation from Development (3)	Public Awareness of Watersheds and Ecosystems (2)	Conservation Not a Funding Priority (1)
 Harvest regulation and enforcement for wildlife and forestry Tailor harvesting to number of wildlife in specific areas Improve Game Warden recruitment; need more Develop original license document – not one that can be copied Recognize and develop trout stocking program 	 Hydrologic alteration Pavement, roof tops Sedimentation of streams Improve water quality for all wildlife Increase participation in BMP's – cool water – vegetation camouflage Retention and improvement of water quality and quantity Coal mining impacts 	 Development, sprawl effects on wildlife and habitat Increasing developmental pressures Implement zoning ordinances for development Management of invasive species and introduction Loss of habitat in general Urbanization and wild land interface Habitat fragmentation – roads, dams, urban Industry emission and effluent regulation and enforcement 	 Conservation in general Increase education in both youth and adults Education and public awareness A disconnection to the natural resources Make a commitment – you can made a difference in a habitat Needs/wants of an aging population 	 More funding Compensation to landowners for intrinsic values

Appendix L3. Stakeholder Input Session, Accomac – December 8, 2004

What is Working Well

Working Well	Why it is Working
 Collaboration of VDGIF with other resource managers – excellent 	 Dedication and quality of people – (staff)
 Protecting habitat when they can 	 They have money, initiative and opportunity (through collaborations)
 Habitat selected for protection is strategic 	 General agreement among resource managers about priorities
 Public outreach and education programs (e.g. birding trail) 	 Strong effort with resources they have (dedication again)
Research efforts good and diverse and collaborative	 Dedicated staff making use of limited resources
 Landowner incentive programs (PDR programs, technical assistance, buffer protection programs) 	 Cooperative based incentive programs, appeal and money to fund them
Predator control for plovers	Persistence, coordination
Freshwater impoundment improvement/creation	 Personal initiatives, incentives, DU
 Creation of early successional habitats/selective logging and clearing 	Incentive
 School contacts and courses – (outreach education) 	Coordinated efforts

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved		
 Other agencies (USDA-NRCS) funding of habitat conservation 	Political pressure, technical input and more money		
Wildlife corridors	 Working with agencies, local government and private landowners 		
 Habitat management (vegetation) 	 More personnel and money 		
 Site management for public access (GATR tract; Guard Shore) 	 Site management plans and money to implement 		
 Management of private lands 	 Better export of knowledge – info public can understand Technical service providers (subsidized) 		
 Public support for more money outside typical VDGIF customer 	 Provide services to these groups Give them the ammo to lobby well 		
Predator control	Kill and study		
Pet control	Animal control authority		
 Fishery monitoring/over-harvesting menhaden, horseshoe crab 	 Study/implement 		
Cooperation among resource users and enthusiasts	DGIF Forum with food and drink		
 Bay clean-up – hypoxia 	• \$40 billion		
 Monitoring of breeding success 	 Intra-agency annual coordinated efforts 		
Wardening of sanctuaries	 Money - Education 		
 Definition/improvement –preservation of larger intact areas of forest 	 Zoning, incentives, easements, land use taxation 		
 Inventory and monitoring of salt-marsh roosters and other species 	 Agency coordinated efforts 		

VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Appendix L — Community Meeting Summaries

-	Freshwater wetland creation	•	DU, DGIF
•	Coordination of coastal impoundments, draw-downs for migratory shore-lands	•	USFWS
•	Population monitoring by air of Arctic nesting species		ССВ

Critical Habitat	Knowledge, Attitudes and Behavior (Society)	Loss of Habitat due to	Population Control of Targeted Species (2)
Protection (4)	(4)	Development (4)	
 Wildlife corridor protection Degradation of preserved land by outside uses Prioritize protection needs Habitat and wildlife protection Identify and map wildlife corridors Identify and map habitat and wildlife 	 Public lack of knowledge of natural system complexity Not enough education about forest management activities Education of school children Enough people who care Working closer with public – proactive People's fear of nature Users not policing their ranks (no slob hunters) Loss of people actively using the resources (hunters, anglers, due to development) 	 Forest fragmentation and loss to development Forest/farm loss and fragmentation Fragmentation of forested habitats Land losses to development Too many roads and cars 	 Proliferation of small mammal predators Deer over-population

Lack of Coordination Among Management Agencies (2)	Identifying Funding for Conservation (1)	Increasing Levels of Pollution (1)	Over Harvesting (0)	Invasive Exotic Species (0)
 Have the right people doing the right job Management actions which harm instead of protect Lack of management strategies for declining species Lack of coordination between agencies and others Before you fix it find out why it broke 	 Develop funding services Appropriate enough funds for projects Funding for protection 	 Bay – Hypoxia/Nutrient load Sea level rise (habitat loss) Acid rainfall High cost of pollution control 	• Over-harvest of marine species. Over-harvest of game birds and fish	 Invasive species Exotic/invasive species – adelgids, mussels, fish, plants

Appendix L4. Stakeholder Input Session, Alexandria – November 15, 2004

Working Well	Why it is Working
Local government - land acquisition and easements	Sets aside habitats
• Efforts to convert backyards, etc. into wildlife habitat	 Increase habitat biodiversity
Low impact development initiatives/incentives	 Reduces run-off, educates
Fairfax County watershed management plans	Clean water act compliance
 Bird listservs, etc. "Citizen Science" 	 What we have and how it's changing and what needs to be protected
Fairfax County NRM plan adopted	 Priorities need funds appropriation
Fairfax County parks education programs	 Informs future decision makers
Deer population control in some areas	 Improves biodiversity
 CBPA – designation and protection of Resource Protection Areas (RPA) 	 Regulates development/land clearing of riparian areas
"Friends of" organizations	 Activism/keep pressure on decision makers
Schools educating children and environment	
Endangered Species Act	
 Voluntary environmental programs by Corps./industries (e.g., Sustainable Forestry Initiative, Clean Marinas Program) Certification by Forest Stewardship Council 	

What is Working Well

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
 Local government authority to preserve natural resources and manage them 	Legislation
 Increase education of policy makers and decision makers 	 Lobbying
 Increase education and incentives for developers and property owners 	 Funding
 Increased funding for acquiring, preserving, restoring wildlife habitat 	Funding
 Increase focus on cleaning rivers and bay 	 Legislation
Communication among land managers (partnering)	 Interagency agreement
 State/local governments need to be subject to state wetlands law 	Legislation
Promote natural landscaping with native species	 Nurseries can label species
Work and partner with industries	Expand resources
Increase environmental impact studies	See Florida for model
 Increase control over or incentives for fertilizer dispensers 	
Tree save ordinance	Legislation
Stronger enforcement of environmental regulations	
• Air and water quality improvements (siltation)	

Invasive species control (especially deer, plants)

Habitat Loss and Degradation Through Development (6)	Funding Is Inadequate (4)	Consumptive Culture (3)	Control of Non-Native Species (2)
 Smart growth for sprawl Population densities Bad land management practices Degradation of natural resources Loss of habitat to development Excessive development Disappearing biodiversity Habitat loss Fragmentation of habitats 	 Budget and resource restraints Level of state funding 	 Ignorance Educate policy makers Apathy and greed 	 Invasive species More non-native species Exotic species

Critical Conservation Issue

Declining Air and Water	Need Better Habitat	Stronger Laws and
Quality (2)	Management (2)	Enforcement (2)
Air and water qualityDependence on fossil fuel	Save mature treesHabitat mitigationReduce siltation	 Enforcement Weakening of environmental requirements

Appendix L5. Stakeholder Input Session, Annandale – November 5, 2004

Working Well	Why it is Working
Partnerships	Really participating
 Preserving fragmented green areas in urban areas 	 Local/county are and not the state
 Identifying invasives 	DCR is doing well, VDOF also
 Environmental education – teachers, schools, festivals, fairs 	 Ash Borer response was quick – press and citizens reached for action, good partnership with DOF
 Improving water quality 	 Education/outreach is good but results are not being realized (see Improvements)
 Mapping and species and habitat (inventory and assessment) 	 Broad base which sets a good base for gathering more detail in future, criteria for targeting "efforts" are good
 DGIF is progressive in inclusion of Taxa and Habitats 	 Fulfills comprehensive goal and shows diversity and ID's info gaps
Interstate coordination	• It is intended to happen over time-share knowledge
Forest Legacy Program and associated partnerships	Funding, communication, partnerships
 DGIF eco-regional planning, TNC 	
 Watershed planning - looking at ecological boundaries to define landscape rather than political boundaries 	
 Chesapeake Bay Act, DGIF habitat restoration, especially aquatic habitats 	 Motivation, partnerships and funding: NFWS, TU, DU, NFWF, DOF, DCR, NGOs, land trusts, industries
 Funding sources 	 Licenses, fines (DGIF), cost share
Tax incentives	 Real estate transfer tax, reconciliation, tax credits for conservation easements, cost share for wildlife conservation, CREP, WHIP

What is Working Well

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
 Local contacts for wildlife conflicts, hunting licenses, habitat/wildlife planning 	 Lists: publications, website, phone book
Communication between groups	 Integration of state and local datasets - GIS, etc.
 Resources for urban areas – preservation vs. conservation 	 Education/outreach: native/invasive species
 Ecosystem perspectives in land use planning and zoning 	 Local government interaction: technical resources/ assistance with local government, NGOs, citizens
Tools and personnel	 Natural Resource Inventory and maps for local officials/land planners - education re: local vs. regional rarity GIS training/software Matrix of available information models, databases, experts, conservation plans, i.e., who is doing what and how to contact them
Not enough money for land acquisition, state or local	More funding
 Need incentives for private land conservation 	 More funding is needed

(easements)	
Add VaULT to partners	 More sharing of info and people
 Prevention of invasive species – introduction and spread 	 More environmental education \$\$ for public lands eradication Cost share with private landowners "Wash your Boots" campaign – "Wash your Boat" Partner with VDACS
 Aquatic stream clean-up/restoration - need to focus on watersheds and eco-regions (some integration, crosscut/correlation in product) 	 More education (Ag runoff) More funds More natural resource damage assessment partnerships with Feds More partnerships with neighbor states too Local NGO partners
 Better dialogue between game and non-game recreation users of resources 	 More staff and dialogue between state and NGOs Utilize hunter education as an opportunity to broaden species/habitat message
 Improve eco-tourism for the state 	Workshops for land ownersCreate market for VA. made productsEducate officials and others of economic benefits
 Conserving forest land base - use product to educate Congress, public partners - wide dissemination 	More incentivesMore partnersWatershed land use planning

Need for Effective Land Use Planning (5)	Lack of Dedicated Funding for Environmental Issues (3)	Loss of Biodiversity (all) (3)	Lack of Strategies for Threatened & Endangered Species & Habitat Loss (2)
 Sprawl – transportation Sprawl Local land use planning for conservation Land use planning Watershed planning Lack of watershed level land use planning 	 Underlying issues – lack of money Dedicated sources of funding – state and local Lack of funding 	 Loss of diversity due to Invasive species (plants, pest, pathogens Invasives 	 Lack of strategies to focus on threatened species and habitat loss Habitat loss; forests, wetlands Habitat loss Fragmented migratory pathways

Need for Integration of Efforts and Resources at all Levels (2)	Declining Water Quality (1)	Declining Air Quality	Need for Effective Conservation and Wildlife Education
 Coordination: NGOs, government, citizens Tools, policies, actions Integration of technical tools (GIS, Datasets) Lack of integration of data, research, GIS compatibility 	 Water quality – stream degradation Aquatic habitat Water quality 	 Lack of global warming mitigation strategies (local VA) Air quality Air quality 	 Public education Adult environmental education! (Kids too) Public participation Political will to conserve natural resources

Appendix L6. Stakeholder Input Session, Harrisonburg – November 4, 2004

What is Working Well

Working Well	Why it is Working
 Existing partnerships (i.e., Fish Passage Program, Eastern Brook Trout Initiative 	 Increasing funds and expertise and improvement of habitat
 Species recovery programs 	 Increase in # SPP and public awareness
DU habitat improvement	
Game Department Birding Trail Program	 Public awareness and habitat improvement
 Forest Stewardship Program 	 Public awareness and habitat improvement
 Law enforcement (i.e. sting operations) 	Decreasing poaching
 Va. Wildlife Foundation (Public-Private partnerships 	Increase in habitat
 T & E species database improvement in technology and user access 	 Public awareness (website)
Game SPP Management	Herbivore regulation
 Resource threat assessment (NPS) 	Proactive management
 Invasive species management (NPS,DOF, DGIF) 	Proactive management
 Federal programs – locally administered (CREP, WHIP, EQIP, Farm Bill) 	Habitat improvement
Public participation processes	They exist!
Focus on all wildlife	 Not just game, new sources of funding
Private land conservation easements	 Transferable tax credits and CRP farm bill incentives - greater public awareness
Citizen leadership on conservation issues	• Environmental education, public awareness and public ethic

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
 Forest Service land management - hardwood conversion – need better management for hardwoods, not pines 	Greater public input
 Greater financial incentives for landowners with hardwoods vs. pine 	
 Wildlife management needs broader coordination/ better interdisciplinary work – more agency connections – land conservation, land management, agriculture, public health 	 Improve coordination – hiring cross discipline personnel
Improve strategies for monitoring wildlife health	More veterinary input
Better baseline data on species counts	 Compilation of available data sources – current and ongoing

VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Appendix L — Community Meeting Summaries

Coordination with other conservation plans	Green infrastructure – Virginia United Land Trust, etc.
 Proactive advocacy of wild life regarding other environmental issues 	 Land use planning Permits for air and water Nonpoint source water issues Structures, towers, windmills Building design Chemical, pesticide use Transportation infrastructure
 More law enforcement 	 Solving overtime issue (\$)
Greater emphasis on non game species	More resources (\$)
Greater coordination with public and greater awareness	Outreach media
 Accessibility to game wardens 	
Improve data on private lands	Data sharing and coordination
New techniques/ways of thinking	Continuing education/cross training among agencies
Improvement in contiguous habitat/reduction in rate fragmentation	Private-public cooperation, awareness

Critical Conservation Issues

Declining	Increased Public	Wildlife not a Concern in Land	New
Ecosystem	Priority and Resources	Use Planning (4)	Threats (4)
Health (7)	(6)		
 Reduce air and water pollution by implementing alternative energy Alternative Energy research Increased pollution (vehicles) Mitigate the effects of pollutants Water and air quality 	 Wildlife as a priority (resources) Send money Funds Money Weakened resource management Prevent/control invasive species and pathogens 	 Reduce footprint of new development. Maintain and restore critical habitats and species. Stop fragmentation of current habitat Habitat loss and fragment Add to existing large habitat areas Loss of connectivity Uncontrolled growth (sprawl) Innovative transportation solutions Coordinate/integrate urban and road planning with conservation goals 	 Increase in exotic invasives Emerging diseases and wildlife health issues Loss of native biodiversity

Public needs to be Invested and Involved (2)	New Issues will Require New Strategies (1)
 Citizen education – importance of wildlife and ecosystems to people's lives Increased citizen involvement 	 Application of new concepts and technologies Old tools won't fix new problems Need better data/ monitoring change Increasing rate/speed of change Work with agencies, local government and NGO's for coordination

Appendix L7. Stakeholder Input Session, Lynchburg – November 3, 2004

What is Working Well

Working Well	Why it is Working		
 Big game restoration program 	 Hunter education; pretty well funded 		
 DGIF – got some dedicated funding from outdoor- related sales taxes 	Need money to operatePolitical support		
 Political support in state legislature for game (non- game) 	 Provides funding 		
 Multi-use of land 	 Builds broader constituency 		
 Urban archery/shotgun ranges 	 More people in urban areas hunting 		
 Hunters for the Hungry program 	 Too many deer – give food to needy, benefits from big game restoration program 		
 Threatened and endangered species identification 	 Landowners mitigate work around issue 		
 Virginia Wildlife magazine 	 Excellent, educational informative, well distributed, affordable 		
 Diversity of protected landowners 	 Tax credits for donated land, easements 		
 Incentives for forest management replanting 	 Provides more habitat 		
The Nature Conservancy	 Preserves critical habitat by purchasing property 		
State Parks	 Public education; habitat preservation 		
Conservation easements	 Reserves "green-space"; stops development. 		
 Water conservation easements 	 Erosion control/enhances water quality 		
 Riparian buffers 	 Provides financial incentive for landowner; preserves stream-side habitat; enhances water quality 		
 Cooperation among state agencies (VDGIF, DOF, NRCS) 	Improved programs		
 Education programs (i.e., volunteer stream monitoring) 	 Provides data to identify potential problems 		
 Volunteer non-profit organizations that have programs to enhance habitat; provide scientific data, education programs 	These groups see a need for this kind of work		
 Natural Heritage program 	 Provide data 		
 Virginia Environmental Endowment 	 It allows the flow of money to environmental studies/problems 		
 Local newspaper coverage on environmental issues (Lynchburg News and Advance) 	 Promotes public awareness 		

Needs Improvement			How it can be Improved		
•	Dedicated funding source for land conservation at state level – save more land	•	Wildlife groups/hunters support funding programs		
•	Less spraying of pesticides on public and private land that also kill wildlife	•	Legislation to ban – provide non-toxic alternatives		
-	Investigate sludge on land and impact on wildlife				

•	Law enforcement – speeding, littering kill wildlife, ruin habitat		
•	Return National Forests to multi-use to diversify habitat	•	Change federal laws
•	Storm water management – destroy habitat		Better local planning/zoning
•	Control of invasive species		Increase public awareness and funding
•	Increased funding of VDGIF, VDOF and parks	•	Reallocation of funds or increased taxes (rethink spending priorities)
•	Better coordination among volunteer groups (particularly land preservation/conservation easement groups)	•	Education/communication spending – coordination
•	Public education about wildlife and their habitat	•	Coordinator at some state level (information and education coordinator)
•	Grassland habitat preservation	•	Public awareness
•	More research dollars – learn more about impact of actions on habitat, wildlife water quality	•	Universities, state, federal

Loss of Habitat due to	Loss of Water Quality	Quality and Diversity of	Funding of State	
Inappropriate Growth (9)	and Quantity (7)	Habitat Diminishing (6)	Wildlife Agencies (3)	
 American dream vs. land conservation Land shortage/control growth Loss of habitat due to development Urban sprawl Overcrowding Control Land use Better local land use decisions Human population growth Public education of wildlife habitat needs Habitat fragmentation Reduce land fragmentation Habitat diversity Control of invasive species 	 Water quality Degradation of water quality Water quality Water pollution Conservation of water 	Efficient farm practices vs. habitat	Adequate funding for agencies	

Educating People about Conservation Issues	Air	Predator
(2)	Pollution (2)	Control (1)
 Responsible hunter education 	 Air pollution 	 Predator control
 Educate public on non gas cars and 		
recycling		
 Educating youth 		
 Public education re: multi-use and benefits 		
 Speeding 		

Appendix L8. Stakeholder Input Session, Richmond – November 8, 2004

What	is	Working	Well
* * 11ai	10	VV VI MIIG	,,,,

Working Well	Why it is Working
 Restoration of game, though there are too many deer, beaver, geese 	Need/support
 Return of bald eagle and peregrine falcon 	 Regulatory backing, education, support, symbolism, funding
 Habitat conservation agreements 	 Mutual interest
 Habitat preservation identification - Natural Heritage, TNC, IBA 	 Desire, financial incentive
 Dam removal/habitat restoration – WIP, CREP, EQIP 	 Livestock exclusion Under story burning Wildlife plantings Watering structure Manure management systems
Conservation easements	Financial, tax benefit
 Public awareness, e.g. Birding Trail Guides, DGIF education programs 	• You can't protect what you don't understand
Prescribed burning	Fuel control - unique habitatQuail – rare plants
Riparian buffer planning	 Water quality habitat
DGIF easy to communicate with	 Open to suggestion
Public support	People like wildlife

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
 Control invasives – plants, animals 	 Diversify info in Va. Wildlife Magazine 	
Communication to lay population	DGIF more coordination with other agencies	
Researcher – Field Manager	 Identify plants (exotics) that really impact wildlife 	
 Loss of forest land – more intensive use, fragmentation 	 Developmental mandates, mitigation 	
 Loss of native grasslands 	 Warm season grasses, fire 	
Development/sprawl	 Conservation easements, zoning 	
Protect riparian buffers	 Incentives to developers 	
Communication	 More info on DGIF website Make it "cool" to conserve –sell it! - start in schools 	
Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
Wetlands/riparian corridors	More green space and buffers requiredConservation input to local planning	
 Water pollution – nutrient control 	 Sediment control, wider buffers, waste treatment 	
Increase intra/inter-agency communication	 Open up databases – share! 	
 Anticipatory planning vs. reactionary acts. e.g. invasive species 	Education	
Land ethic	Education	
Political support	• ?	

Cifucal Conseivation issues							
Habitat Loss	Wildlife and Habitat		Need for				
and Degradation (12)	Issues Addressed in	Inadequate	Public				
	Policy and Planning (9)	Funding (6)	Education (5)				
 Habitat for Imperiled 	 Poorly planned, high 	 Securing adequate funds 	 Public education 				
(priority) species	impact, accelerated	Support and funding	 Political and public 				
 Identifying critical 	development		support and education				
habitat	 Uncontrolled land use 		 Education leading to 				
Species and habitat	 Biodiversity values in 		public support for				
restoration	public decisions		conservation				
 Habitat loss 	 Balancing growth and 						
Habitat loss and	development with						
degradation	preservation						
Riparian habitat loss	 Turf battles 						

Habitat Management for Wildlife (3)	Wildlife Over- Population (2)	Water Quality and Quantity and Wetlands (2)	Invasive Species (1)
 Early successional habitat Native grasslands Loss of Ag/Forest to more intensive use Habitat fragmentation Development of habitat loss Fragmentation of resources 	 Animal damage control Deer management 	 Pollution Waste management issues and sediment runoff Pressure on wetlands Watershed management Protecting and improving water quality 	 Wildlife awareness and increase of feral and invasive species Exotic species Control invasive species

Population Impacts and	Prioritization of	
Consumption Choices	Conservation and	
(1)	Management Efforts (1)	
 Excessive consumption Too many people Human population growth and land consumption 	 Identifying target species Effective prioritization	

Appendix L9. Stakeholder Input Session, Richmond (pm) – November 18, 2004

What is Working Well

Why it is Working		
 Easier to get info today 		
 Because public awareness is increasing 		
Wildlife is undisturbed by human impacts		
 These rare species have now increased 		
 Once we pinpoint stressors, we can reduce or eliminate them 		
 Providing new or replacing habitat 		
 More people taking action and showing concern for environment 		
 Financial incentives to conserve 		
Taking land out of agriculture		
Preservation/mitigation		
More publicity		
 Increasing habitat/catching pollutants 		
Improved habitat		
 Increase/assisted birds 		
 Provide funds for protection 		

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
 Protection of habitat for "smaller" wildlife; i.e., less charismatic species, frogs, salamanders 	Better inform public
 Too many predators, raccoons, skunks, feral cats, too many deer in city 	 Better management both in urban settings and non- urban settings
 Non-consumptive use of fish 	Catch and release
 Loss of hardwoods, wetlands 	
 Loss of edge-effect 	
Habitat connectivity	
Multiple agencies	
More proactive wildlife programs	
Pollution regulations – make them stronger	Reduce permissible pollutant levels/emissions

Control of invasive or non-native species	Control imports, educate public
Ability to control urban and suburban sprawl	 Improve urban living standards and encourage people back to city Increase incentives for easements Strengthen zoning regulations in localities Promote new urbanism principles Build up – not out

Loss of Habitat to Development (9)	Lack of Financial Incentives (6)	Lack of Awareness and Sense of Stewardship (5)	Pollution of all Types (5)
 Habitat connectivity Loss of habitat Encroachment and proffers Encroachment Sprawl More people using non-increasing habitat area Sprawl Easily changed zoning Maximum acres of habitat needed Vehicular impacts Invasion by non-native species Cooperation of state and private organizations 	 Increased hardwood re-forestation Incentives for private management of wildlife Food source loss, example – farmer doesn't leave smaller food plot 	 Education Education of public and lawmakers Public education about wildlife conservation 	 Pollution – water – air, global warming Air and water pollution Toxic emissions and pollutants

Lack of Funding (3)	Managing Wildlife Around People (3)	Management of our Water Resources (3)
 Funding to develop and implement programs Alternatives to "Shrinking" public dollars 	 "Surplus" wildlife in urban and suburban settings 	 Water quality and quantity Management of waterways Water use conflicts Technical "toys" e.g., jet-skis, cross country motorbikes

Appendix L10. Stakeholder Input Session, Roanoke – November 30, 2004

What	is	Working	Well
	10	11 OI MILLS	

Working Well	Why it is Working
Birding and Wildlife Trails Guide	Expands wildlife constituency, economic
	development connections to wildlife conservation
• Land (habitat) conservation by VOF and land trusts	Conserving growing acreage
• Game species - deer, turkey, bear	 Wildlife management areas; game laws
 Warm water sports fish 	 Dedicated funding
 Access to water and public lands 	 Boat ramps, National Forests, etc.
Upland mammals	Low hunting pressureMove edge habitat
USDA programs	 Cost share and education
 Public land management (National Forests and DCR preserves) 	 Law and managers public involvement
Water quality improvement	Point source pollution reduction
 Endangered species habitat conservation 	TNC
Virginia Wildlife Magazine	 Interesting educational articles on wildlife, habitats, etc.
Public meetings	 Enables us to offer opinions, input
 Partnerships in regulated game management 	•
Conservation agreements are working well	 Tax policy and market forces are effective tools (sometimes)
 Public opinion is supportive 	 Ballot initiatives generally pass
 Conservation methods used in game and fish management 	•
 Politicians and decision makers have greater access to info (available at all levels of government) 	 Local activism, public education, ubiquity of information
State natural preserves system	•
More air and water quality monitoring	•
 More outdoor recreation – more people getting out to experience nature 	•
Water quality is improving	 Better agricultural practices; better erosion control; more sewage treatment plants
 Some environmental education programs working well – e.g. MAPS 	Children learn where they live – parents too
 Virginia Birding and Wildlife Trail – Good job DGIF! Habitat seen as valuable 	Recreation and tourism dollars
DGIF meetings held in evenings	Good management

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved
Control invasive species	Quit planting them; educate VDOT and DGIF; develop management strategies to control invasive species
 Control deer destroying forest under story 	 Harvest
Stream protection	Requires riparian buffers

Needs Improvement		How it can be Improved		
• St	rengthen NEPA enforcement	•	Better politicians and judges	
• G1	rowth management on regional scale	•	Each PDC should have a natural resource professional	
im	ransportation and land use planning (local land uses uply transportation projects; transportation projects ad to land use changes			
• Ne	ew road building continues to fragment habitat		Concentrate on maintaining existing roads instead of building new ones	
• St	op decreasing water quality and quantity	-	Optimum population. Better land use planning Dedicated funding for WQIF Better education Enforce water pollution laws Public official accountability Water trust fund like N.C.	
	vin fragmented habitats		Statewide acquisition program; dedicated source of land conservation funding	
	ducation of value of wildlife.		Elected officials' education.	
pr	ive unique specie's habitats special attention to revent decline.		Environmental education; use outfitters to educate; "Work your patch"	
• Ai	ir – acid precipitation		Join law suit against utilities; enforce laws	
• De	etermine air quality improvement data	•	Collect and use data	
• M	ap degree of air pollution		More funding; state land acquisition program	
• M	lore media exposure	•	TV, radio, local newspapers, regional magazines, web	
• U1	rge city/county governments to use best practices		Continuing education classes/seminars for government leaders, boards, etc.	
	e more proactive where there are differing values, terests, etc. Be a decisive advocate for wildlife		Research best available information; be available to answer questions	
	nprove/provide matching federal and private funds r conservation easements		Have businesses contribute toward funds (incentives); If city offers incentives for business relocation, a provision should be provided for habitat	
de	ow can a citizen offer input prior to land use evelopment. Who monitors the impact before Wal-Mart" moves in?		Provide a list of contacts; enable citizen input for specific land areas	
	fore education on specific wildlife and habitat lationships	•	TV, radio	
• Pu	ublic schools education –focus on wildlife		Revisions to SOLs; teaching materials	
• W	Vater quality	•	Dedicated funding source (flush tax?)	
 S1 	ow fragmentation	•	Zoning – land use revisions	
ha	reservation of unfragmented forests and other abitats	•	More money for conservation programs – Forest legacy, VOF, land trusts, etc. In-fill development; brown field redevelopment in cities	
str	nprove coordination among public and private; raighten out "alphabet soup".		"One-stop" shopping to make conservation programs easier for landowners	
■ Pr	reservation of travel corridors.		Comprehensive information and targeted acquisition (fee or easement)	

Loss and Degradation			Water Quality/Quantity		
and Fragmentation of	Controlling	Over	Declining (6)		
Habitat (8)	Sprawl (7)	Population (6)			
 Loss of diversity 	 Urban growth/sprawl 	Population growth	 Polluted waters 		
Land and wetland	 Sprawl 	Population control	 Water quality 		
preservation.	 Growth management 		 Water quantity 		
 Habitat fragmentation 	(sprawl)		Poor water quality		
 Wetland destruction 	 Economic incentives 				
 Loss of native grasslands 	induced sprawl				
 Habitat loss 					
Karsts and cave					
degradation					
 Habitat fragmentation 					
 Habitat degradation 					
 Insensitive road building 					

Invasive	Conservation not a	GlobalClimate	Managing Resources
Species (5)	Funding Priority (5)	Change (2)	and Demands (2)
 Non-native species Deer over-population and under story loss Invasive species Invasive plants and animals 	 Lack of wildlife content in county planning Republicans Lack of dedicated funding People don't value wildlife enough 	Global climate changeGlobal warming	 Over-consumption of resources Energy (mining, dams, wind, nuclear, oil, natural gas, coal-bed methane)

Air Quality	Toxic	
Declining (1)	Chemicals (0)	
 Air Quality 	 Increased synthetic 	
 Polluted air 	chemicals in environment	

Appendix L11. Stakeholder Input Session, South Boston – November 10, 2004

What is Working Well	What	is	Working	Well
----------------------	------	----	---------	------

Working Well	Why it is Working
Forestry BMPs	 Voluntary; effective in protecting water quality and diverse wildlife habitat
 Farming technology (i.e., no till) 	 Reduces sedimentation
WHIP/EQIP	Monetary incentives
 Diverse ownership (farming, forestry, etc) Diversity of habitats 	
Strong farming/forestry economy	Keep lands in field and forest
Volunteerism	Passionate people
Game management	 Seasonal limits, management plans in place have led to good game populations
Bear populations	 Management, food, etc.
Education Volunteers	
 Personnel, agencies, conservation groups Dedicated, passionate employees 	
Access for fishing/recreation	 Fishing access sites, ramps, wildlife management area (but could improve rails to trails opportunities)

How it can be Improved
 Reintroduce wildlife education programs – tie to
SOLs
 Information dissemination
 Tax credit funding
 Land use taxation for conservation (open space)
 Dialogue
 Work with localities, sportsmen's groups, planning commissions - education
 Include questionnaires with hunting license
purchases
 Do away with dog hunters
 Funding source for these
Improve DCR response time
Publicize
Educational materials
More unified conservation/natural resource groups
,

Counterproductive Land	Disconnect with the	Inadequate Resources	Competing Demands
Use	Land (4)	for Conservation (3)	for Water (2)
Policies (5)			
 Land use policies 	 Loss of contact with 	 Money 	 Population impact on
 Land use changes 	the land		water quality/quantity
 Land conservation 	 Disconnect with the 		 Water quality
 Stupid county 	land		
ordinances	 Decreased hunting and 		
 Sprawl 	fishing		
 Development/fragile 	 Nintendo 		
habitats	 Predominantly urban 		
 Population 	population		

Defining and Controlling Invasive Species	Conservation vs. Extreme Preservation	Decreasing Biodiversity	How to Shift Focus and Pay Bills
 Invasive species 	 PETA 	 Lack of active management on 	 Game department focus
		Federal forests	

Appendix L12. Stakeholder Input Session, Virginia Beach - December 9, 2004

What is Working Well

Working Well	Why it is Working		
Groups and associations	 Committed and specialized people/groups that can add knowledge and passion 		
 VDOT process of identifying mitigating effects on species of concern 	 Internal and external experts have input to process and \$ available 		
 NEPA process 	 Must do it – good process 		
 Open space project in Va. Beach, \$50 million 	 Money for acquisition, citizen input 		
 Buying land (i.e., USFWS) from willing sellers 	 Protects from development, protects watershed, \$ 		
 Collaborating, partnering 	 Moves faster, higher quality results 		
Technology	 Access to info – Communication 		
 Federal and State agency resources 	 Available to all background info to answer questions 		
 Education – Increased course offerings related to environmental wildlife topics 	 Course offerings have increased awareness of environmental wildlife issues 		
 City specific - Habitat Enhancement Committee (funds received through violations and used to improve parks, open space and city property) 	 Funds used to environmental/habitat projects 		
 Fees/Memberships 	 Revenues for site specific improvements 		
 Current land use valuation/Real Estate (Ag. and Forest and Conservation properties) 	 Keeps tax level at current use 		
 Tax incentives for donations of conservation easements 	 Federal and State financial incentive 		
 Government and private associations that encourage stream buffers as well as local watershed associations that involve the public 	Financial incentives		
 Buffer zone regulations and enforcement brings the issues home/more personal 	 Increasing awareness and knowledge 		
 Increased public awareness through media and community groups 	 Pressure on decision makers 		
 Increased awareness within hunting/fishing community about critical habitat issues 	 Public pressure on them Continuation of life style/tradition Ability to reach decision makers 		
 Appreciation gained from visiting parks, aquariums, etc 	 Better behavior to protect resources they visit 		

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
 Education of outdoor users, re: ethics 	 Explore informal education opportunities 	
 Marketing – encourage people to want to conserve, etc. 	 Create effective marketing campaign 	
Enforcement	 Increase staffing, game wardens, etc. 	
• Education of everyone – re: impacts on our watershed	Create "No Discharge" zonesExplore informal educational opportunities	
Involvement of marine environmental component	Partner with VMRC	
Public – education/awareness	 Access through websites, press releases, community 	

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
	meetings	
 Loss of habitat to development 	 Buy more – "Open Space" project – TNC, USFWS Land use taxation Easements Agriculture Reserve Program (city specific) Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act 	
 Cooperation between agencies and other interested parties – more meetings with groups Stakeholders – ongoing relationships ne Farm land Back Bay Wildlife Refuge Town meetings/process re: this compreh strategy (varied members) 		
 Prediction/prevention before issues arise 	 This is what DGIF is trying to accomplish 	
• Teach the general public what we need to identify – Wildlife? What's endangered? Habitat?	 Youth programs, Adult programs, training staff, coordinate with schools, curriculum 	
 Local ordinances and regulations – enforcement of current laws and regulations (i.e., proper uses, hunting, fishing). Local citizen involvement Staff availability money More severe penalties 		
 Recognition and payment of true costs of environmental impacts 	 Legislation, education 	
 Monitoring of ecological impacts and publicizing (i.e. Chesapeake Bay water quality) 	• \$, Staff, citizen input, media interest	
Funding	 Reliable/dedicated funding, e.g. check-off box on license applications (H&F) Creative – cooperation on campuses – awareness day 	
 Proactive involvement in localities by resource agencies 	More staffBetter communication	
Design of roads to accommodate wildlife movement	CommunicationInput on permit applications	
 How development is done and controlled 		
 Comprehensive Conservation Plans (CCP) – City Planning Commission 		
 Refuges 		

Habitat	Conservation not a	Habitat Fragmentation	Declining Interest and
Loss (13)	Funding Priority (9)	(5)	Knowledge (4)
 Development pressure on private agricultural and forest lands Development pressure on public natural resource lands Decreases in forests and farmland Easements. Unrestrained development Rate of habitat depletion Forest/habitat loss. Conservation of critical wildlife habitat Biodiversity 	 Funding. Funding and staffing. Lack of \$\$\$ 	 Wildlife corridors Impacts of infrastructure (road, communication) on wildlife habitat Transportation 	 Marketing/partnershi ps Public participation Public involvement Education Public education/awareness
VIRGINIA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY Appendix L — Community Meeting Summaries

Lack of Coordination between Natural Resource Agencies (2)	Invasive Species (2)	Not Enough Opportunities for Participation (1)	Water Quality and Quantity (0)
 Lack of coordination between natural resource agencies 	 Invasive plants and animals 	 Public hunting and wildlife viewing opportunities 	Water quality.Water quality and supply

Air Quality Decline (0)	Contaminants (0)	Interface Conflicts (0)	Non-compatible uses (0)
 Air quality 	 Hazardous material 	 Human wildlife conflict 	 Special interest groups
			requests (multi-use
		 Growth of wild-land 	interests)
		urban interface	 Jet skis.

Note: Issues are listed by order of priority. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the number of votes received.

Appendix L13. Stakeholder Input Session, Virginia Beach (pm) – December 9, 2004

What is Working Well

Working Well	Why it is Working
 DEQ has wetland inventory grant 	Lack of wetland function inventory
Conversion/restoration of habitat	DGIF using farmland
 Tracking of species – swans, fish 	DU, VMRC, NOAA
Educational programs for schools	• EPA, CBF, ERP, NWF has curriculum activities and funds
Controlled hunting	DGIF
 Protection of threatened species 	International Paper and DGIF partnership
Park management	Good staff, good natural resources
Tidal vegetated wetlands protection	 Federal/state laws have been in place
 Non-tidal wetlands law 	New
Education	 Schools, NGO's work together.
 NGO's and citizens help with wildlife assessments, ex: Audubon bird counts. 	
 Nurseries ask for help on butterfly/bird friendly plants 	

What Needs Improvement

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
 Natural resources funding – state, city, land trust, in lieu fees 	 Educate the public and regulators/lawmakers 	
Chesapeake Bay habitats/water quality	 Increase funding and other resources (manpower) 	
 Mitigation not replacing acreage or function of habitats 	 Make the issues personal (how does this affect taxpayers who wouldn't care otherwise 	
Encourage smart growth		
 More emphasis on avoiding wetland impacts than compensatory mitigation 	 Corps of Engineers and DEQ take a stronger stand 	
Better enforcement of environmental laws	All levels of government	
Needs wetlands functional assessments by watershed	Need funds	

Critical Conservation Issues

erneur eenservulon issues					
Development Pressures	Raising Awareness (5)	Consistent Enforcement	Governmental Reforms		
(6)		(2)	(2)		
o Encroachment: too	0 Volunteers	o Law enforcement - need	o Legislation:		
much growth	• Educating the public and	of biologist	o Laws/regulations are		
o Not enough "green	politicians	○ Enforcement –	"fluff"		
space" or buffers	o Education	0 Laws	o Great ideas and		
o Stopping development	0 No vs. need public	 Regulations 	programs but not		
of non tidal wetlands	education on	 Compensation 	legislative		
 Expanding populations 	conservation		support/critical bills		
o People - air pollution,	 Communication 		don't get passed.		

 water pollution Land/ground – water pollution Slowing the hardening of shorelines by increasing the use of natural erosion protection Adequate in stream flows Loss of wildlife habitat to development Restoring wetland habitat Loss of wetlands Loss of hatchery and nursery functions for finfish and shellfish. Forest management 			 Reform of boards and commissions that regulate habitats Better planning to ensure preservation of essential habitats Over-harvest of marine species 	
---	--	--	---	--

Ι	nadequate Funding (2)	Increasing Pollution (1)		Invasive Species (0)		Public Access (0)
•	Funds to support our	 Pollution 	-	Invasive species	-	Preservation of parks -
	resources	 Water pollution 				to keep citizens in
-	Funding:	 Environmental 				touch with nature
	Not enough money	contaminants			•	Lack of public hunting
	Not enough people					areas
	Not enough concern					
•	No money!					

Note: Issues are listed by order of priority. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the number of votes received.

Appendix L13. Stakeholder Input Session, Warsaw – November 9, 2004

Working Well	Why it is Working	
 Reintroduction of depleted species and restrictions 	 Evident increased populations (works across taxa) 	
 Restriction of catch/bag limit – seasonal closures 	Better populations	
Improving data collections	 Increased knowledge base 	
 Decrease pesticides/fertilizers and AG BMPs and forest BMPs, fencing streams, etc. 	 Decrease nutrients in stream Decrease siltification – mussels 	
 Restoration/mitigation of wetlands 	Improvement of nursery habitat for aquatic species	
Grass roots organizations	 Solving local problems, ownership of local landowners 	
 Purchase development rights and conservation easements (CREP and land acquisition) 	 Preserve habitat by tax break and dollar incentives 	
Safe Harbors program	 Protection of small threatened populations 	
Green infrastructure	 Increase knowledge base/cooperation between agencies 	
 Land use taxing/Ag-Forestry districts 	Can't develop, tax break	
 Gating bat caves, protection of critical habitats 	Turtles, Plovers, Bats	
Clean Marina and Clean Farm Programs	 Recognition - decrease sewage, decrease point source toxins 	
 Labeling of fertilizers – informative/general info 	 Increase knowledge base 	
 TMDL process – tributary strategies (upgraded wastewater treatment plants) 	 Mandated by EPA and Virginia (benthic, chlorine, oxygen criteria) 	
Comprehensive plans		
License plate funds		
Increased education in pollution reduction		
Air pollution reduction		
 Decrease acid rain effects (liming of streams) 		

What is Working Well

What Needs Improvement

Needs Improvement	How it can be Improved	
 Improve habitat protection (emphasis on habitats, wildlife depends on habitats) 	 More and better education for general public, school children 	
 Improve education and outreach re: conservation to landowners, children 	 Simplify plans and include wildlife/habitat guidelines 	
 Recognize wildlife habitat needs when writing plans (FMPs, fire, etc.) 	 Easements, CRP, cost-share (CREP, WHIP), tax breaks 	
 Improve habitat incentives for landowners (financial, etc) 	 Increase CRP rental rates (to increase filter, buffer strip placement) 	
 Fragmentation of upland habitats 	 Smarter development 	
 How to sell conservation ideas to landowners who can't see, appreciate, understand benefits of wildlife 	 Educating developers, real estate agents 	

 Intra agency communication – "singing off same page" 	
 Predators and invasive species 	
Improve comprehensive plans	Educate Board of Supervisors and elected officials
Improve communication	 Show economic benefit (ecotourism) e.g., Birding and Wildlife Trails
 Improve environmental education (children and adults) Environmental friendly officials and knowledge 	 Ag/Cost share
Sprawl reduction and cluster development	Better planning
Alternative transportation	 Better planning
Improve grass roots advocacy	Organize
Decrease invasive species	
Focus on priorities	
Cooperation of state agencies	
Save more land	

Critical Conservation Issues

Habitat Loss	Increase Awareness on	Inadequate Resources for	Fragmentation of
and	how and why to Conserve	Implementation (9)	the Conservation Process
Fragmentation (9)	(9)		(4)
 Habitat loss and fragmentation Loss of habitat Loss of habitat Habitat loss Habitat fragmentation and loss Fragmentation Fragmentation of habitat 	 Quality of life (all) How conservation affects each individual Recognition of these issues by public Need to define problems – simply/clearly Education Public education – kids, landowners, realtors, developers, supervisors Critical need for conservation 	 Resources/funding Funding Funding for conservation Public landowner recourse - means or economic incentives for conservation or habitat improvement 	 Lack of coordination among government agencies/NGOs Lack of land use and transportation planning Over regulation by governments Lack of comprehensive planning by region or state

No Final Agreement on Conservation Priorities (3)	Declining Air and Water Quality (2)	Control of Invasive and Predator Species (2)	Increased Consumption, Pressure on Resources & Habitats (1)
 Focus efforts on individual species 	 Water quality – nutrients, sedimentation, erosion, timber practices, wetland loss Pollution: need clean air and clean water Declining water quality 	 Spread of invasive species Non-natives out- competing fragile populations 	 Too many people Human population increase

Note: Issues are listed by order of priority. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the number of votes received.

Virginia Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy

Summary of 2005 Stakeholder Input Sessions: Action Recommendations

Prepared by: The VCU Center for Public Policy

for: The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries and CWCS External Steering Committee

May 2005

This effort was funded through a grant provided by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service State Wildlife Grants Program (VA-T-1)

Virginia's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy

Summary of 2005 Stakeholder Input Sessions

Table of Contents

Overview of the Stakeholder Input Process	1
Summary of Recommended Actions	2
Improve Agricultural Practices	2
Increase Information Sharing and Coordination Among	
Conservation Agencies	2
Improve Local Land Planning and Development Standards	3
Increase Enforcement Efforts	3
Expand Financial Incentives for Land Conservation	3
Increase the Number of Game Wardens	4
Expand Public Education and Involvement Efforts	4
Increase Penalties for Violations	4
Increase Education and Advocacy Efforts for Elected Officials	4
Identify New Sources of Funding	5
Restore Damaged Habitats	5
Identify and Protect Threatened or Unique Habitats	5
Expand Efforts to Educate and Involve Youth	
In Conservation Activities	6

Appendices

L15. Abingdon Session	7
L16. Fishersville Session	9
L17. Richmond Session	11
L18. South Boston Session	12
L19. Virginia Beach Session	13
L20. Warsaw Session	15
Overview of the Stakeholder Input Process	

Thirteen stakeholder input sessions were conducted during November and December 2004 to gather critical input for Virginia's first Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (CWCS). These sessions provided information on conservation efforts that were working well, how to improve current conservation activities, and the most critical issues to be addressed during the next ten years.

The Center for Public Policy at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) designed and conducted six additional stakeholder input sessions during April 2005 to gather additional information for plan preparation. Participants were asked to provide recommendations on the most important actions that should be taken to address the previously identified prioritized issues. These issues, in priority order, were:

- 1. Decline and fragmentation of habitat
- 2. Pollution and contaminant increases
- 3. Inadequate funding
- 4. Public education and support
- 5. Societal trends
- 6. Coordination of conservation efforts
- 7. Enforcement

DGIF sent invitations to everyone who participated in the previous input sessions. In addition, invitations were sent to several hundred groups representing a broad variety of state and federal government agencies, nonprofit conservation groups, hunting and fishing organizations, naturalist organizations, and other interested parties.

Sessions were held at:

Abingdon – April 5 Fishersville – April 6 Richmond – April 27 South Boston – April 27 Virginia Beach – April 22 Warsaw – April 25

Each input session followed a similar format. DGIF personnel opened each session with a short presentation describing the goals and objectives of the CWCS, the planning process, progress to date and the importance and use of stakeholder input. VCU staff summarized the 2004 stakeholder input sessions and provided an explanation of the seven priority conservation issues. Large sheets of paper were then posted around the room with the headings of the prioritized issues and participants were invited to write their action recommendations under the appropriate issue.

Summary of Recommended Actions

A summary of each input session was prepared for review and analysis (Appendices L15 through L20). Recommendations were collated across all six sessions. Several common themes emerged to provide focus on conservation initiatives during the next five to ten years.

Improve Agricultural Practices

- Regulate the use of agricultural best management practices (BMPs) and require their use strengthen implementation and increase funding
- Work with agriculture groups to promote low impact farming and organic production
- Work to have better erosion and sediment control and agriculture development plans
- Restore funding to the Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Division to write farm conservation plans within Chesapeake Bay resource protection and resource management lands
- Increase utilization of Resource Conservation and Development coordinator positions

Increase Information Sharing and Coordination among Conservation Agencies

• Improve coordination between law enforcement agencies at the local, state and federal levels and share information on past violations

- Increase cooperation and partnerships between governmental agencies, nonprofit organizations, industry and the public to help ensure conservation vision, goals, objectives and strategies can be addressed in a more proactive, informative way rather than reactive.
- Share data with local conservation organizations to help them implement plan priorities
- Encourage interagency cooperation in developing extensive corridor greenways and blueways
- Establish a better, coordinated early warning system for invasive species
- Identify and network partners specific to species or groups of species
- Convene monthly (or more frequent) meetings where partners can exchange information on their efforts, use of resources, issues, ideals, etc.
- Form regional groups or committees of interested parties and agencies to coordinate efforts
- Use National Wildlife Trust Fund staff to conduct biological assessments for landowners
- Provide technical expertise to private landowners thru United States Agriculture programs and tap local land conservancy efforts and practices, such as the Piedmont Environmental Council's ABC Handbook)
- Establish a statewide forest policy to manage forest resources and coordinate efforts
- Integrate efforts of Forestry, Natural Heritage, and DGIF to present a more unified, holistic vision
- Partner with VDOT to better plan for and mitigate pollution impact to state waters from both spills and storm water runoff
- Work with existing environmental and conservation coalitions such as VAULT and VCN to coordinate publicprivate efforts

Improve Local Land Planning and Development Standards

- Work with county planners to recommend cluster development and encourage clusters be situated so that the open space is contiguous
- Concentrate new development into "towns" or "growth areas" where infrastructure exists. Encourage development in towns by improving the quality of life through urban renewal, or other programs, to encourage people to live in developed areas
- Address the issue of affordable housing to provide incentives to live close to work and services to reverse the trend of a commuter society
- Partner with county and municipal governments to increase land-use planning efforts and offer technical assistance regarding wildlife issues when localities revise their Comprehensive Plans
- Work with municipalities to promotes low-impact development techniques
- Pass laws to require developers to keep undeveloped land contingent to other undeveloped land
- Require more centralized development (smaller lots) near each other surrounded by undeveloped land
- Increase local government technical assistance and coordination of planning efforts to include conservation issues
- Increase mitigation efforts of land-disturbing activities by establishing buffer strips, planting trees and other vegetation, and other measures
- Use data and ranking of critical habitat areas to create wildlife corridors that counties can incorporate into their Comprehensive Plans

Increase Enforcement Efforts

- Identify and fund modernization of small town sewage treatment plants
- Stop individual home sewage being dumped directly into streams
- Increase enforcement of existing water quality standards
- Improve enforcement of non-compliant erosion and sediment control measures
- Increase enforcement on existing and new water quality standards in bays and associated watersheds

Expand Financial Incentives for Land Conservation

- Develop a statewide purchase of development rights program (PDR) and work with localities to prioritize areas for acquisition
- Coordinate conservation easement and purchase of development rights (PDR) programs statewide to help ensure most critical areas are being conserved and linked
- Develop a resource value cost payment system that would pool both private, state, federal, and nonprofit funds
- Have a private organization organize targeted cost-share programs for conservation

- Increase incentives for conservation efforts (for example, increase payments for CREP participation) to generate greater landowner involvement
- Promote Forestal Districts statewide with added incentives for landowners who manage "native forests" for ecological and wildlife purposes
- Encourage forest product companies (such as International Paper, Georgia Pacific, John Hancock) to place easements on company lands and ensure public access

Increase the Number of Game Wardens

- Increase compensation for law enforcement field personal
- Provide more Game Wardens, trained to note habit issues, which are out in the field. Train local and state law
 enforcement personnel on game laws to enable them to gather better information on game and pollution violators
- Allow Game Wardens adequate time to focus on enforcement duties and avoid too much time on hunter education and other programs
- Address overabundant species to decrease human and wildlife conflicts

Expand Public Education and Involvement Efforts

- Increase efforts to gain input from local citizens on conservation needs and actions
- Provide natural environment education aimed at urban center populations
- Use Game Wardens to provide information on hunting, fishing, and other activities to schools, civic groups and others. Have them provide information on land conservation options to targeted groups (schools, hunt clubs, etc.)
- Promote "conservation values" by addressing a broad spectrum of community groups (conservation, religious, service, schools, etc.) and take it across the state
- Create more opportunities where the public can be involved (conservation programs, public meetings, volunteer/friends groups, etc.). Make the public an active participant rather than someone who needs to be educated
- Visit civic associations in areas with land use and conservation conflicts and educate them on critical conservation issues and what they can do to help
- Convince former urban citizens that hunting has a positive effect on wildlife through species management
- Develop a mass media campaign on ways average citizens can reduce their impact on the land, and species and
 increase valuable habitat. Develop a marketing strategy with talking points that is concise, understandable and
 memorable. Provide modules tailored to specific groups or projects such as birds, butterflies, amphibians, etc.
 Develop a symbol for this program to publicize and market this effort
- Make greater use of television and the Internet to reach the general public

Increase Penalties for Violations

- Increase mandatory penalties for violation of game laws and enforce current penalties for violators
- Establish easier enforcement methods and procedures to address "bad actors"
- Codify statewide definition of what constitutes "pollution"
- Increase standards and thresholds for air and water pollution levels permitted by industry and by land development activities

Increase Education and Advocacy Efforts for Elected Officials

- Educate lawmakers on the need for estate tax reform to help maintain land in forest and agricultural use
- Inform lawmakers on need for stiffer penalties for conservation law violations
- Encourage lawmakers to provide funding for additional enforcement
- Increase funding from general assembly for staff and equipment
- Expand lobbying efforts of the Virginia Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts and the Farm Bureau to increase funding for agricultural BMP programs
- Quantify shortfall in funding for lawmakers
- Reinforce to the General Assembly that the Commonwealth's natural resources are essential for a healthy economy and a sustainable future and need additional funding for protection.

• Identify and organize constituents (voters) to educate them on conservation needs and have them bring funding issues to elected officials

Identify New Sources of Funding

- Continue to seek non-game funding sources to support non-game programs
- Require farm tenants to buy hunting licenses
- Hire a grant writer to research and apply for the many Partner Grants provided at the federal level that are becoming available
- Further investigate user fees for non-game recreation use

Restore Damaged Habitats

- Work with VDOT to prevent introduction of invasive plants like Ailanthus and Autumn Olive, etc. Work with them to destroy current invasive plants and introduce more wildlife plantings, including native wildflowers
- Connect forest canopies by using native seedlings to reforest old fields and agriculture areas with significant forest habit
- Increase funding for aerial control of Phragmites Australis in watersheds and wetlands (spray-burn-spray)

Identify and Protect Threatened or Unique Habitat Areas

- Implement conservation standards aimed at conserving large tracts of wildlife habitats (i.e. wet woods, streams, watersheds and forest) and require municipalities to incorporate into their planning regulations
- Preserve high elevation habitat
- Increase planning and implementation of wildlife corridors that connect conservation areas
- Designate watersheds that feed into bays and/or estuaries as "special conservation areas" requiring increased
 protection and extensive vegetation buffers to mitigate impact of land use changes on watersheds and wetlands
- Address area sensitive grassland species on sites larger than 250 acres do not lump into early successional species category
- Increase Coastal Plain habitat preservation to protect migratory birds
- Inventory and protect vernal pools through a certification program
- Increase education and outreach to small landowners (small farms, small woodlots) to foster cooperative management and link fragmented habits

Expand Efforts to Educate and Involve Youth in Conservation Activities

- Develop and implement more "urban" action programs such as organized fishing, hunting and birding trips for youth that usually would not take place
- Identify volunteer conservation groups to go into elementary and intermediate schools to teach habit management. Provide them with access to PowerPoint presentations, and equipment as necessary. Work with science and agriculture teachers to develop informative bulletin boards and presentations
- Increase outdoor education/classroom programs and experiences for school children
- Include consumption sports education
- Increase education for non-game species
- Integrate the various education programs such as Project Wild, PLT, KARGT, etc. into a more holistic curriculum, including more information on non-game species
- Ensure SOLs incorporate conservation and ecological education
- Connect with school kids through strong media campaigns (like Smokey the Bear) and tie into SOL criteria
- Use internet mailings and pop-up advertising to reach youth

Appendix L15. Stakeholder Input Session, Abingdon - April 5, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Preserve high elevation habitat
- Pay landowners for services their lands provide that they currently are not compensated for such as clean water, air, aesthetics, wildlife etc. Pool various products to create larger fund
- Protect current conservation tools, such as conservation easements, that are currently under threat politically

Pollution and Contaminant Increases

- Increase agriculture and forestry BMP and streamside buffer funding
- Work to have better EAS plans and agriculture development plans
- Work with VDOT to better plan for and mitigate pollution (both spills and storm water) impact to state waters

Inadequate Funding

- Reintroduction of species
- Educate our politicians about why this matters
- See habitat decline points
- Develop a resource value cost payment system that would pool both private, state, federal, and nonprofit funds

Public Education and Support

- Increase education for non-game species
- Integration of the various education programs: Project Wild, PLT, KARGT, etc. into a more holistic curriculum, including more on non-game species
- More education aimed at grade school level

Societal Trends

- Need to address the publics' disconnect from the land by increasing activity in the grade schools
- Take the message to the people education about the national environment aimed at urban centers

Coordination of Efforts

- Establish a statewide forest policy for the state to center on the forest resources which provide economic and ecological benefits coordinate the efforts
- Integration of efforts of forestry (DOF), Heritage, and DGIF to present a more unified, holistic vision

- More law enforcement personal Game Wardens
- Establish easier enforcement laws in order to address "bad actors"
- Better enforcement of non-compliant erosion and sediment control projects and agricultural projects

Appendix L16. Stakeholder Input Session, Fishersville - April 6, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Pass state laws to require developers to keep undeveloped land contingent to other undeveloped land
- Look at more centralized development (smaller lots) near each other surrounded by undeveloped land
- Increase education and outreach to small landowners (small farms, small woodlots) to foster cooperative management and improve management of fragmented habits
- Increase local government coordination stress work/assistance to and with local government (where land use decisions are made)

Pollution and Contaminant Increases

- Increase incentives for conservation efforts (for example increase payments for CREP participation) to generate greater involvement
- Increase migration efforts (establish buffers, plant trees, vegetation)
- Strengthen agriculture BMP implementation and funding
- Regulate agricultural BMPs not voluntary
- Work with agricultural groups to promote low impact and organic production
- Work with municipalities to promotes low-impact development techniques

Inadequate Funding

- Identify permanent source of funding (none federal) to assist in purchase of easements through current programs
- Continue to seek non-game funding sources to support non-game programs
- Evaluate use and dedication of existing funds/programs
- Establish a user tax for conservation users
- Work with other partners to develop a program to reimburse landowners for keeping land in forestry and agriculture use
- Require tenants to buy hunting licenses
- Add permanent operation and management agreements for conservation programs
- Careful balance of organizations represented on DGIF Board

Public Education and Support

- Implement more "urban" action programs such as organized fishing, hunting, bird trips for youth
- Identify volunteer cooperative groups to go into elementary and intermediate schools to teach habit management

 provide them with PowerPoint presentations, laptops, etc.
 Work with groups such as NIOTF, DU, conservancy volunteers, Ruritans, 4-H, FFA, YFIA to provide presentations we currently do this in Augusta County and work with science and agriculture teachers to help with bulletin boards, presentations
- Outdoor education/classroom programs and experiences for school children
- Expand use of local college interns for projects, programs

Societal Trends

- Work with county planners to recommend cluster development and encourage those clusters to be situated so that the open space is contiguous
- Development is not always a good thing
- Virginia ranked 49th, 50th, 50th, and 50th in funding per capita last four years for environmental spending
- Encourage development in towns, improve quality of life, do urban renewal to make people want to stay in town
- Address issue of affordable housing to reduce sprawl. Provide incentives to live close to work location and services to reverse trend of commuter society

Coordination of Efforts

- Work with existing environmental/conservation coalitions such as VAULT and VCN to coordinate public-private efforts
- Identify and establish networks of agencies/partners
- Identify partners specific to species or groups of species
- Increase utilization of RC & D coordinator positions
- NWTF mission statement includes conservation of habitat to benefit all wildlife. They are willing to offer biologist assessment to landowners and have programs in every state
- Work with VDOT to prevent introduction of invasive plants like Ailanthus and Autumn Olive work with them to destroy current invasive plants and introduce more wildlife plantings, native wildflowers

- Change compensation (salary) for law enforcement field personal
- Increase mandatory penalties for violation of game laws, enforce current penalties for violators
- Wider coordination between law enforcement agencies federal, state and local
- Currently local agencies give violators over to Game Wardens without adequate history on violators (i.e. a felon commits a game violation, Game warden never hears about the fact that they are a felon)
- Provide more Game Wardens trained to note habit issues they are out in the field most have law background. Train local/state law enforcement personnel on game laws so they gather better data for game and pollution violators

Appendix L17. Stakeholder Input Session, Richmond - April 27, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Preserve grassland areas greater than 250 acres for grassland species do not lump these areas into early successional category
- Increase Coastal Plain habitat preservation for migratory birds
- Inventory and protect vernal pools through a certification program
- Encourage interagency cooperation in developing extensive corridors greenways, blue ways, etc.
- Better coordination of early warning systems for invasive species

Pollution and Contaminant Increases

- Use the program to reinforce why pollution control is needed (wildlife needs)
- Use this to target high wildlife and fish impact areas

Inadequate Funding

- Use this plan to influence the General Assembly to allocate more funding Virginia is last in per capita funding for conservation
- Use this coalition of conservation groups to lobby for more funding (state and national)
- Further investigate user fees for non-game recreation use

Public Education and Support

• SOLs should incorporate conservation/ecological education

Societal Trends

 Take this program to a broad spectrum of community groups (conservation, religious, services, schools) to promote "conservation values"

Coordination of Efforts

- Have a traveling show to take across Virginia
- Develop a symbol for this strategy to publicize and market this
- Develop a "marketing strategy" with talking points that are concise, understandable, memorable
- Modules tailored to specific groups (projects) such as birds, butterflies, amphibians

Enforcement

Provide adequate funding for enforcement

Appendix L18. Stakeholder Input Session, South Boston - April 27, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Increase public awareness about habitat loss and why it should be important to them
- Increase use of agricultural and forestal districts

Pollution and Contaminant Increases

- Identify and fund modernization of small town sewage treatment plants
- Stop individual home sewage being dumped directly into streams

Inadequate Funding

- Identify and pull together constituents (voters) and educate them on issues and get them to bring funding needs to politicians
- Increase staff to provide and deliver conservation programs already out there such as landowner cost-share and educational programs
- Be careful about funding, beware of constraints, find funding that will allow agency discretion

Public Education and Support

- Increase public awareness (general awareness) about why conservation is important
- Increase proactive educational efforts about specific issues
- Connect with school kids through campaigns (like Smokey the Bear) that have tie into SOL criteria
- Increase "outdoor classroom" opportunities for children (nature walk, stream surveys, etc.)
- Use internet mailings list and pop-up advertising

Societal Trends

- Use television and the Internet to reach public
- Shift culture to get kids " back to nature"

Coordination of Efforts

- Within DGIF better understanding of what each division does
- Greater coordination and information sharing between conservation agencies (state)

- Permit Game Wardens to focus on enforcement time is quickly watered down with hunter education duties, etc.
- Address over abundant species and human/wildlife conflicts

Appendix L19. Stakeholder Input Session, Virginia Beach - April 22, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Close up forest canopies by using native seedlings to reforest old fields and agriculture areas with significant forest habit
- Increase enforcement of existing water quality standards
- Designate watersheds that feed into bays/estuaries as "specials conservations areas" requiring increased protection and extensive vegetation buffers to mitigate impact of land use changes on watersheds and wetlands
- Educate lawmakers on the need for estate tax reform. This is definitely an issue in passing down land to the next generation. If heirs can't afford to pay estate taxes, land will be sold and will likely be developed rather than continuing in agriculture or forest use
- Increase cooperation and partnerships with other state and federal agencies, conservation organizations, industry, and public so that the vision, goals, objectives and strategies of concern can be addressed in a more protective/informative way rather than a reactive/contagious way. Coordination and cooperation of efforts is very important!
- Increased funding for aerial control of Phragmites Australis in watersheds and wetlands (spray-burn-spray)

Pollution and Contaminant Increases

- Codify statewide definition of what constitutes "pollution"
- Increase standards/threshold for pollution levels for industry for water and air quality and on development and construction activities
- Increase penalties, in addition to higher standards, to discourage the increase of pollution from the above activities.
- Increase funding for conservation programs to decrease pollution contributors

Inadequate Funding

- Have a private concern organize targeted cost-share programs for conservation
- Increase lobbying efforts from Virginia Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts to increase funding for agricultural BMPs the Farm Bureau could help too
- DGIF and partners should quantify shortfall in funding for lawmakers
- Increase funding at state conservation areas
- Hire grant writer to research and apply for the many Partner Grants (federal) that are becoming available their salary could come from grants they procure

Public Education and Support

- Create more opportunities (conservation programs, public meetings, volunteer and friends groups) where the public can be involved make the public an active participant rather than someone who just need to be educated. Through public participation (attention to importance of adults) one can gain support and understanding and at the same time educate the public
- Visit civic associations in areas with land use, conservation conflicts and educate them on critical conservation issues such as aquatic vegetation protection, water quality issues, etc. and public activities that conflict with conservation goals and objectives and let them know what they can do to help

Societal Trends

- Work with county and municipal governments to increase land use planning
- Implement conservation standards aimed at conserving large tracts of wildlife habitats (i.e. wet woods, streams, watersheds and forests) and require municipalities to incorporate into their planning regulations
- Localities and state could decrease development leaving more habitat to wildlife by promoting/implementing PDR programs (purchase development rights) with the state providing funding and localities setting priorities

- Increase coordination and cooperation among partnerships to focus on issues and determine the level of education, involvement and enforcement is necessary
- Coordination of Efforts
- Pool PDR and conservation easement efforts
- Have monthly (or more often) meetings where different partners can present and exchange information on efforts, resources, issues, ideals, etc.
- Form regional groups/committees of interested parties/agencies to better work together and coordinate efforts

- Educate lawmakers on need for stiffer penalties for conservation law violations
- Increase enforcement on existing/new water quality standards in bays and associated watersheds
- Encourage lawmakers to provide funding needed to provide additional enforcement

Appendix L20. Stakeholder Input Session, Warsaw - April 25, 2005

Decline and Fragmentation of Habitat

- Cluster development
- Concentrate new development into towns or growth areas where infrastructure exists using the Comprehensive Plan
- Promote statewide Forestal Districts with added incentive for landowners who manage "native forest" for ecological/wildlife purposes
- Share data with local conservation organizations to help them implement your priorities
- Work with forest product companies (such as IP, GP, and John Hamrok) to place easements on company lands and ensure public access cheaper than outright purchases
- Pollution and Contaminant Increases
- Refund (restore funding) to the Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Division to write farm conservation plans within Chesapeake Bay RPA and RMA lands
- Increase state cost share funding for BMPs (winter cover crops, etc.)

Inadequate Funding

- DGIF has identified conservation easements as something that works well pass this public input on to the General Assembly and Congress to ensure its continuation
- Reinforce to the General Assembly that the Commonwealth natural resources are essential for a healthy economy and sustainable future and need additional funding – an area's appearance defines its character and the way that it is perceived by potential businesses and residents

Public Education and Support

- Convince former urban citizens that hunting has a positive effect on wildlife management
- Game Wardens can provide more information to various groups about land conservation and options to ensure outdoor traditions continue
- Provide a "meaningful" outdoor experience for all students from 9th -12th grade such as hiking, bird identification, water related activities
- Mass media campaign on ways average citizens can reduce their impact on the land, and species, and increase habitat

Societal Trends

- Game Wardens can provide more information on hunting, fishing, and other activities to schools, civic groups and others and spread the word about land conservation options to select groups (schools, hunt clubs, etc.)
- Inform people that agriculture is not necessarily a "bad" land use and that farming with appropriate BMPs may be better for water quality than other land uses (i.e. residential)

Coordination of Efforts

- Use data and ranking of critical habitat areas to create wildlife corridors that counties can incorporate into Comprehensive Plans
- Provide technical expertise to private landowners through USAD programs tap local land conservancy efforts, practices such as the Piedmont Environmental Council's ABC Handbook
- Offer technical assistance to counties regarding wildlife issues when counties revise their Comprehensive Plans

Enforcement

Increase funding from General Assembly for staff, equipment

More local citizen input and monitoring

Other Actions

- Establish an even more liberal deer season to reduce human/wildlife conflicts
- Provide "Earn a Buck" or other incentives to increase doe harvest
- Increased cooperation with land-holding groups and/or companies to improve hunting opportunities